Mapping Key Features of Transcriptional Regulatory Circuitry in Embryonic Stem Cells

M.F. COLE AND R.A. YOUNG

*Whitehead Institute for Biomedical Research, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02142;
Department of Biology, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139*

The process by which a single fertilized egg develops into a human being with more than 200 cell types—each with a distinct gene expression pattern controlling its cellular state—is poorly understood. Knowledge of the transcriptional regulatory circuitry that establishes and maintains gene expression programs in mammalian cells is fundamental to understanding development and should provide the foundation for improved diagnosis and treatment of disease. Although it is not yet feasible to map the entirety of this circuitry in vertebrate cells, recent work in embryonic stem (ES) cells has demonstrated that core features of the circuitry can be discovered through studies involving selected regulators. Here, we highlight the fundamental insights that have emerged from studies that examined the role of transcription factors, chromatin regulators, signaling pathways, and noncoding RNAs in the regulatory circuitry of ES cells. Maps of regulatory circuitry and the insights that have emerged from these studies have improved our understanding of global gene expression and are facilitating efforts to reprogram cells for disease therapeutics and regenerative medicine.

More than 200 different cell fates are generated during vertebrate development, each with a gene expression program unique to that cell type (Su et al. 2004; Shyamsundar et al. 2005; Vickaryous and Hall 2006). The expression programs are controlled by transcription factors, chromatin modifiers, and regulatory RNAs that can be influenced by signals from the extracellular environment. The subset of regulators that are expressed in each cell type have a key role in establishing and maintaining cell state. How these regulators control global gene expression programs is almost entirely unmapped in vertebrates because of limitations in our knowledge of the transcriptional, chromatin, and signaling components that are key to the control of each cell type. Nonetheless, multiple groups have begun to tackle the challenge of mapping transcriptional regulatory circuitry, particularly in ES cells, and have demonstrated that important insights into the global control of cell state can be obtained from these efforts (Boyer et al. 2005, 2006; Chew et al. 2005; Bernstein et al. 2006; Lee et al. 2006; Loh et al. 2006; Wu et al. 2006; Pan et al. 2007; Zhao et al. 2007; Chen et al. 2008; Cole et al. 2008; Endoh et al. 2008; Jiang et al. 2008; Kim et al. 2008a; Tam et al. 2008).

Mapping transcriptional regulatory circuitry is important because it provides insights into the regulators and mechanisms that control global gene expression, cell state, and development. Because deficiencies in control of gene expression can contribute to many human diseases such as cancer, immune disease, and diabetes (Villard 2004; Kloosterman and Plasterk 2006; Latchman 2008), knowledge of normal and abnormal transcriptional regulatory circuitries may provide new approaches to disease diagnosis and therapy. Here, we describe initial efforts to dissect core features of the ES cell transcriptional regulatory network and highlight the key insights that have emerged from such studies.

MOLECULAR MECHANISMS CONTROLLING EUKARYOTIC TRANSCRIPTION

Transcription factors, chromatin regulators, signaling pathways, and noncoding RNAs are among the key components that control mRNA gene expression (Fig. 1). The molecular mechanisms by which these regulators control expression of individual genes have been studied extensively and are reviewed elsewhere (Lee and Young 2000; Orphanides and Reinberg 2002; Berger 2007; Li et al. 2007; Core and Lis 2008; Hobert 2008). Our understanding of these mechanisms suggests how to organize models of the transcriptional regulatory circuitry, as described below.

DNA-binding transcription factors recognize sequence motifs, are key to specific gene regulation, and can thus be used to anchor transcriptional regulatory networks (Harrison 1991; Pabo and Sauer 1992; Kadonaga 2004; Remenyi et al. 2004). Transcription factors are also the single largest protein family encoded in the human genome, where they account for approximately 10% of protein-coding genes (Lander et al. 2001; Levine and Tjian 2003). They bind to both promoter-proximal and -distal regulatory DNA sequences and can aid or inhibit recruitment of the transcription apparatus at target genes (Latchman 1997; Blackwood and Kadonaga 1998; Ogata et al. 2003; West and Fraser 2005). At most well-studied promoters, it is evident that multiple transcription factors are bound, which allows for the combinatorial control of gene expression (Evans et al. 1990; Greene 1990; Harbison et al. 2004; Panne et al. 2004; Remenyi et al. 2004).

Chromatin regulators are often recruited to specific portions of the genome by DNA-binding transcription factors or the transcription apparatus where they act to augment gene expression or repression through their effects on chromatin state (Berger 2007; Kouzarides 2007; Li et al. 2007). Chromatin regulators that methylate

Figure 1. Mechanisms controlling eukaryotic gene expression: Examples of various types of inputs contributing to the control of mRNA levels within a cell. Site-specific transcription factors, recruitment and control of RNA polymerase initiation and elongation, DNA and histone modifications, input from signal transduction pathways, and the actions of miRNAs on mRNA levels can influence the gene expression program of a cell. Knowledge of the molecular mechanisms involved in control of gene expression can guide efforts to elucidate the transcriptional regulatory circuitry that produces a specific gene expression program. For further details and references, see text.

DNA and certain nucleosomal histone residues have been implicated in heritable chromatin states and thus have important roles in developmental control. Methylation of CpG islands in the promoter regions of some genes by DNA methyltransferases contributes to their repression and is maintained during development by maintenance methylases (Goll and Bestor 2005; Turek-Plewa and Jagodziński 2005; Klose and Bird 2006). Methylation of nucleosomal histones by Trithorax and Polycomb group protein complexes is also thought to be important for maintaining gene expression programs associated with specific cell states; Trithorax group complexes are associated with actively transcribed genes, whereas Polycomb group regulators are associated with repression of most genes that they occupy (Pirrotta 1998; Orlando 2003; Ringrose and Paro 2004; Schuettengruber et al. 2007; Schwartz and Pirrotta 2007).

Signaling pathways act to maintain or initiate changes in the regulatory circuitry in response to environmental or developmental cues. The terminal components of signaling pathways are often protein kinases that can phosphorylate and activate transcriptional regulators or are themselves transcription factors and chromatin modifiers (Hunter 2000; Brivanlou and Darnell 2002; Yang et al. 2003; Pokholok et al. 2006). Knowledge of the target genes of each of the signaling pathways that contribute to control of cell state is critical to understanding how these pathways control the gene expression program associated with such states.

Noncoding RNAs can influence gene expression and chromatin state (Goodrich and Kugel 2006; Amaral et al. 2008; Hawkins and Morris 2008). For example, a large class of noncoding RNAs, termed microRNAs (miRNAs),

modify gene expression by regulating translation and degradation of mRNA transcripts (Ambros 2004; Bartel 2004; Valencia-Sanchez et al. 2006; Meister 2007; Makeyev and Maniatis 2008). Noncoding RNA species have also been implicated in control of chromatin state (Verdel et al. 2004; Moazed et al. 2006; Grewal and Elgin 2007; Rinn et al. 2007; Zaratiegui et al. 2007). We have limited understanding of the regulation of expression of noncoding RNA species, and in most cases, we have yet to identify the specific set of genes that are under the control of these noncoding RNA species.

CONCEPT OF CORE TRANSCRIPTIONAL REGULATORY CIRCUITRY

Hundreds of gene expression regulators are present in each cell, making it a challenge to map the regulatory network that they form in even one cell type, much less in 200 cell types (Lander et al. 2001; Brivanlou and Darnell 2002). For this reason, even the most ambitious global studies have examined only a handful of transcriptional regulators and then in only a few cell types (Cawley et al. 2004; Odom et al. 2004, 2006; Boyer et al. 2005; Rada-Iglesias et al. 2005, 2008; Loh et al. 2006; Barski et al. 2007; Mikkelsen et al. 2007; Chen et al. 2008; Cole et al. 2008; Jaenisch and Young 2008; Jiang et al. 2008; Kim et al. 2008a; Komashko et al. 2008; Marson et al. 2008b; Park et al. 2008; Reed et al. 2008; Wang et al. 2008). However, several lines of evidence argue that a small subset of transcription factors and other regulators have a key role in the control of cell state. Cells can be reprogrammed into other cell states through forced expression of a very small number of transcription factors. For exam-

ple, fibroblasts can be reprogrammed into induced pluripotent stem cells upon forced expression of four transcription factors (Takahashi and Yamanaka 2006; Okita et al. 2007; Takahashi et al. 2007; Wernig et al. 2007; Yu et al. 2007). Similarly, fibroblasts and other cells can take on a skeletal muscle state when the myogenic transcription factor MyoD is expressed (Davis et al. 1987; Weintraub et al. 1989, 1991; Choi et al. 1990). Screens to identify genes that are key to maintaining the ES cell state have identified only a small number of all of the transcription factor genes that are expressed in these cells (Ivanova et al. 2006; Zhang et al. 2006; Fazzio et al. 2008). Furthermore, several studies have shown that many transcription factors can be eliminated without dire consequences for the cell (Winzler et al. 1999; Giaever et al. 2002; Kempthues 2005). The small set of transcription factors that have been demonstrated to be important for establishment or maintenance of a cell state will henceforth be termed “key regulators.”

A simplified version of the transcriptional regulatory circuitry of a cell can thus be deduced by discovering the population of genes that are occupied and controlled by the key regulators for that cell type. We call this simplified network the “core transcriptional regulatory circuitry.” Given current experimental limitations to elucidating complete vertebrate circuitry, we propose that the mapping of core regulatory circuitry provides a shortcut to discovering key network themes, a concept that we believe has been validated with the study of ES cells.

KEY ES CELL TRANSCRIPTION FACTORS ESTABLISH A CORE REGULATORY CIRCUITRY

Initial studies of transcription factors in the ES cell transcriptional regulatory network focused on the key regulators Oct4, Sox2, and Nanog (Boyer et al. 2005; Loh et al. 2006). Knowledge of genetic phenotypes, expression profiles, and molecular relations was leveraged to identify these factors as key components of the ES cell network. Genetic studies demonstrated functional consequences in ES cells of inappropriate expression of these factors, the expression of Oct4 and Nanog was found to be specific to pluripotent cells, and Sox2 was known to form a heterodimer with Oct4 (Schöler et al. 1990; Ambrosetti et al. 1997; Nichols et al. 1998; Avilion et al. 2003; Chambers et al. 2003; Mitsui et al. 2003; Hart et al. 2004). Because of the overwhelming evidence for key roles for these regulators in the ES cell network, multiple groups have mapped their target genes in human and murine ES cells (Boyer et al. 2005; Loh et al. 2006).

Several important themes emerged from the study of target genes for Oct4, Sox2, and Nanog (Fig. 2). The key regulators clearly prefer to cooccupy their target genes, thus forming a network structure called a multi-input motif (Fig. 2A) (Lee et al. 2002; Boyer et al. 2005; Loh et al. 2006; Alon 2007). Because they form a heterodimer, Oct4 and Sox2 were expected to bind the same target genes, but Nanog was also found to occupy a large percentage of the Oct4-Sox2 bound genes. More recent studies have mapped additional transcription factors in ES

cells and have found that they also follow the theme of target gene cooccupancy (Wu et al. 2006; Chen et al. 2008; Jiang et al. 2008; Kim et al. 2008a). These studies, and similar investigations of key regulators in other cell types (Odom et al. 2004, 2006), suggest that the multi-input motif is an important theme in the transcriptional regulatory circuitry of vertebrate cells.

A related feature or theme that emerged from these global binding studies is that the key regulators tend to occupy DNA sequences in very close proximity to one another (Fig. 2B) (Boyer et al. 2005; Loh et al. 2006; Cole et al. 2008; Marson et al. 2008b). Oct4, Sox, and Nanog were often found to bind within 25 bp of one another at target genes (Marson et al. 2008b). This proximity suggests that these factors are forming tightly associated complexes on DNA to coordinately affect transcription. Some of these transcription factors are competing for binding to overlapping or similar DNA sequences, and because the data come from a population of cells, it is also possible that the complete set of transcription factors is not simultaneously bound at these sites in individual cells. Further studies into the biochemical nature of these binding events are needed to test these possibilities.

One of the more important themes that emerged from the initial studies of the key regulators of ES cells was that Oct4, Sox2, and Nanog together cooccupy their own promoter regions and thus form a network structure called an interconnected autoregulatory loop (Fig. 2C) (Boyer et al. 2005; Loh et al. 2006). This network motif may have two purposes: Feedback gene regulation by these transcription factors may contribute to the stability of the core ES cell transcriptional regulatory network, yet this network structure may also allow for a rapid change in core regulatory circuitry if one regulator is eliminated upon receipt of differentiation signals. Indeed, the circuit formed by Oct4, Sox2, and Nanog could apparently act as a bistable switch controlling ES cell maintenance versus differentiation (Chickarmane et al. 2006).

The early global studies also revealed that the key regulators occupy and control genes encoding many other transcriptional regulators that are expressed in ES cells (Boyer et al. 2005; Loh et al. 2006), forming a hierarchical regulatory network structure (Fig. 2D). The target genes of Oct4, Sox2, and Nanog were significantly enriched for transcription factors and developmental regulators (Boyer et al. 2005). The control of these secondary regulators allows key transcription factors to indirectly control a much larger set of genes. This hierarchical network structure has been described in model organisms and will likely prove to be a common vertebrate network architecture (Martinez-Antonio and Collado-Vides 2003; Ma et al. 2004; Farkas et al. 2006). This network structure may allow for rapid large-scale changes in the transcription program in response to signals that may only directly target a handful of key regulators.

One additional fundamental theme that has emerged from global binding studies is that Oct4, Sox2, and Nanog occupy both actively transcribed genes encoding ES cell transcription factors and repressed genes encoding lineage-specific developmental regulators (Fig. 2E) (Boyer et al. 2005; Loh et al. 2006). This observation suggested that reg-

Figure 2. Major themes emerging from studies of key transcription factors in ES cells. (A) Key regulators largely target the same set of genes and thus form a multi-input motif. Multi-input motifs consist of a set of regulators that bind to a common set of genes. (Colored ovals) Proteins; (orange rectangles) genes. An arrow from a protein to a gene indicates direct regulation of the gene by the protein. (B) Key transcription factors often bind in extremely close proximity to one another on DNA. The motif associated with the key transcription factors in ES cells is depicted in the promoter region for a gene targeted by all three factors. The average location of binding with respect to this motif as determined by large-scale binding profiles is shown and demonstrates the proximity of binding. (Colored ovals) Proteins; (orange rectangle) gene. (C) Key transcription factors often form interconnected autoregulatory loops where the transcription factors together bind to and regulate each of their promoters. (Colored ovals) Proteins; (orange rectangles) genes; (squiggly lines) their resulting transcripts. An arrow from a protein to a gene indicates direct regulation of the gene by the protein. (D) Key transcription factors regulate genes encoding secondary regulators, thus allowing the key regulators ultimate control over many more genes and therefore a larger effect on the complete transcriptional program. (Colored ovals) Proteins; (orange rectangles) genes; (squiggly lines) their resulting transcripts. An arrow from a protein to a gene indicates direct regulation of the gene by the protein. (E) Key transcription factors in ES cells bind to the promoters of both active genes involved in pluripotency and self-renewal and to the promoters of inactive genes encoding lineage-specific developmental regulators that are silent in ES cells. (Colored ovals) Proteins; (orange rectangles) genes. A line from a protein to a gene indicates direct regulation of the gene by the protein.

ulation of silent developmental regulators in ES cells might be critical for pluripotency. Inappropriate expression of lineage-specific developmental regulators could initiate gene expression programs for other cell states, and thus it appears to be important to maintain these key regulators of other cell types in a repressed state in ES cells. Precisely how Oct4, Sox2, and Nanog contribute to repression of lineage-specific developmental regulators is not known.

POLYCOMB AND TRITHORAX CHROMATIN REGULATORS IN THE CORE CIRCUITRY

The genomic locations and functions of Polycomb and Trithorax group (PcG and TrxG) proteins, along with the histone modifications catalyzed by these chromatin regulators, have been the subject of much study in ES cells (Bernstein et al. 2006; Boyer et al. 2006; Lee et al. 2006; Pan et al. 2007; Zhao et al. 2007; Endoh et al. 2008). There is considerable genetic evidence that these chromatin regulators have an important role in early development (Faust et al. 1998; O'Carroll et al. 2001; Pasini et al. 2004; Breiling et al. 2007). Studies of their role in the ES cell network have revealed several important insights that are likely to become general themes of vertebrate transcriptional regulatory networks.

One key insight that emerged from studying these chromatin regulators is that the previous assumption that TrxG complexes are associated with actively transcribed genes, whereas PcG regulators are associated with repressed genes, is imperfect (Bernstein et al. 2006; Boyer et al. 2006; Lee et al. 2006; Pan et al. 2007; Zhao et al. 2007; Endoh et al. 2008). The set of silent genes encoding lineage-specific developmental regulators that are occupied by Oct4, Sox2, and Nanog was also occupied by both PcG and TrxG complexes and contained nucleosomes trimethylated at both histone H3 lysine (K)4 and H3K27. These silent genes encoding developmental regulators

were therefore described as being bivalently marked by both activating and repressive marks.

Further studies revealed that the transcription apparatus was recruited to the promoters of these bivalently marked genes encoding developmental regulators and that transcription was initiated but full-length transcript was not produced (Guenther et al. 2007; Stock et al. 2007). Studies in *Drosophila* suggest that transcriptional pausing is a conserved regulatory feature at genes encoding silent developmental regulators in embryonic tissues (Muse et al. 2007; Zeitlinger et al. 2007; Hendrix et al. 2008; Nechaev and Adelman 2008). This regulatory feature may keep genes encoding developmental regulators in a poised expression state, allowing rapid transcription of certain genes upon induction of differentiation. How only a specific subset of these Pc-repressed genes is induced to overcome transcriptional pausing to permit lineage-specific differentiation is not yet understood.

SIGNALING PATHWAYS BRING DEVELOPMENTAL CUES TO THE NETWORK

Recent studies have revealed how some signal transduction pathways contribute to control of the ES cell transcriptional regulatory network (Pereira et al. 2006; Chen et al. 2008; Cole et al. 2008; Tam et al. 2008; Yi et al. 2008). The Wnt signaling pathway has important roles throughout development and can influence ES cell state (Logan and Nusse 2004; Reya and Clevers 2005). A terminal component of this pathway, the transcription factor Tcf3, was identified as a likely key regulator in ES cells due to its genetic and expression phenotypes (Korinek et al. 1998; Merrill et al. 2004; Pereira et al. 2006).

Subsequent genome-wide studies of Tcf3 in ES cells revealed that the Wnt signaling pathway is intimately connected to the core transcriptional circuitry of ES cells (Fig. 3) (Cole et al. 2008; Marson et al. 2008b; Tam et al.

Figure 3. Key themes from studies of signaling pathways in ES cells. (A) Signaling pathways can directly connect to key transcription factors in the core interconnected autoregulatory loop through terminal components of their signal transduction pathway. (Colored ovals) Proteins; (orange rectangles) genes; (squiggly lines) their resulting transcripts. An arrow from a protein to a gene indicates direct regulation of the gene by the protein. (Red Y shape and long squiggly arrow) Wnt signal transduction pathway. (B) The terminal component of signaling pathways such as the Wnt pathway can form a feedforward loop by regulating both the key regulators and their target genes. Proteins, genes, and regulation are depicted as in A.

2008; Yi et al. 2008). Tcf3 occupies promoters of the key transcription factors Oct4, Sox2, and Nanog, and these factors, together with Tcf3, occupy the Tcf3 promoter (Fig. 3A). Thus, Tcf3 is a component of the interconnected autoregulatory loop that is at the core of ES cell transcriptional regulatory circuitry. These studies also revealed that Tcf3 cooccupied the genome with the key transcription factors, suggesting that the Wnt signaling pathway can affect cellular state by directly connecting to the core circuitry. In this manner, cells could respond to Wnt signaling through a feedforward loop where the key ES cell regulators as well as their targets are immediately targeted by Tcf3 (Fig. 3B). This network structure would allow for both a rapid and stable response to environmental stimuli.

Manipulation of the canonical Wnt pathway through Tcf3 can affect the balance between pluripotency and differentiation in ES cells (Cole et al. 2008). High Wnt pathway activity favors pluripotency, whereas low activity favors differentiation (Sato et al. 2004; Ogawa et al. 2006; Singla et al. 2006; Miyabayashi et al. 2007). Tcf3 and its associated proteins apparently contribute to gene activation when the Wnt pathway is activated and to repression when the pathway is not (Cole et al. 2008; Tam et al. 2008). This suggests that under conditions of high Wnt activity, Tcf3 and the key transcription factors Oct4, Sox2, and Nanog generally function to activate target gene expression (although such activity can be overridden by PcG proteins and other repressors). In contrast, under conditions of low Wnt activity, Tcf3 acts to repress target gene expression and may thus counter the activating functions of Oct4, Sox2, and Nanog. These opposing inputs thus allow ES cells to modulate the level of target gene expression in the core circuitry on the basis of the cell's external environment, which in turn influences the balance between pluripotency and differentiation.

NONCODING RNAS ADD ANOTHER LAYER OF REGULATION TO THE CIRCUITRY

miRNAs are critical for normal ES cell self-renewal and differentiation and have demonstrated roles in early development (Bernstein et al. 2003; Kanellopoulou et al. 2005; Murchison et al. 2005; Wang et al. 2007; Sinkkonen et al. 2008; Stefani and Slack 2008). Recent studies have revealed how the miRNA class of noncoding RNAs is controlled in ES cells, and this information has been incorporated into a model of the core regulatory circuitry of ES cells (Marson et al. 2008b). This class of noncoding RNAs adds another layer to the regulation of gene expression because the RNAs act posttranscriptionally to influence mRNA stability and translation. miRNAs can regulate the expression of many protein-coding genes (Farh et al. 2005; Krek et al. 2005; Lewis et al. 2005; Lim et al. 2005) and thus form a number of interesting control circuits in cells where they are expressed.

miRNA gene expression is regulated in a manner similar to that of regulation of protein-coding genes in ES cells (Fig. 4A) (Marson et al. 2008b). The key transcription factors Oct4, Sox2, Nanog, and Tcf3 occupy and positively regulate the promoters of miRNA genes that are

Figure 4. Key themes from studies of miRNAs in ES cells. (A) miRNA genes are regulated in a manner similar to that of protein-coding genes. Key transcription factors cooccupy the promoters of active miRNAs and, along with Pc proteins, cooccupy the promoters of silent miRNAs. (Colored ovals) Proteins; (purple hexagons) miRNA genes. A line from a protein to a gene indicates direct regulation of the gene by the protein. (B) miRNAs can participate in an incoherent feedforward loop with key transcription factors to modulate the level of target gene expression. Key transcription factors bind and activate an miRNA gene along with another target gene that specifies an mRNA; the miRNA represses by reducing translation or stability of the mRNA. (Colored ovals) Proteins; (orange rectangle) protein-coding gene; (black squiggly line) its mRNA; (purple hexagon) miRNA gene; (squiggly lines) resulting transcripts. Regulation is depicted by arrows and T-bars.

actively expressed in ES cells. These key transcription factors also occupy a set of silent miRNA genes that are expressed later during differentiation. This set of silent miRNA genes is occupied by PcG proteins in ES cells, thus poisoning these miRNA genes for expression during development in a lineage-specific fashion.

Studies of miRNAs and the core circuitry of ES cells also revealed recognizable network motifs that provide insights into how networks can control cell state (Marson et al. 2008b). Certain miRNAs, such as mir-290-295, form a common network motif termed an incoherent feed-forward loop with the key transcription factors in ES cells (Fig. 4B) (Alon 2007). This network architecture may allow ES cells to fine-tune gene expression levels of important target genes and facilitate removal of certain ES-cell-specific mRNAs when cells are stimulated to differentiate.

MODEL OF ES CELL CORE REGULATORY CIRCUITRY

A model for ES cell core regulatory circuitry has recently been described that incorporates key transcription factors, chromatin regulators, the Wnt signaling pathway, and miRNAs (Fig. 5) (Marson et al. 2008b). This model represents only a portion of the available data, but it serves to illustrate several important features of ES cell regulatory circuitry. The transcription factors Oct4, Sox2, Nanog, and Tcf3 form an interconnected autoregulatory loop, to which the Wnt signaling pathway connects. The key transcription factors occupy and regulate a set of actively transcribed protein-coding and noncoding genes whose functions contribute to the ES cell state. The products of some of these genes add another layer of regulation; for example, the miRNAs fine-tune the levels of mRNAs for certain protein-coding genes. The key transcription factors also occupy silent protein-coding and noncoding genes involved in lineage-specific functions, and these genes appear to experience transcription initiation, but transcript completion is prevented by PcG proteins and perhaps additional repressors (Guenther et al. 2007; Stock et al. 2007).

REPROGRAMMING TRANSCRIPTIONAL REGULATORY CIRCUITRIES TO THE ES CELL STATE

Somatic cells can be reprogrammed into induced pluripotent stem (iPS) cells by ectopic expression of four or fewer transcriptional regulators (Takahashi and Yamanaka 2006; Meissner et al. 2007; Okita et al. 2007; Takahashi et al. 2007; Wernig et al. 2007, 2008; Yu et al. 2007; Aoi et al. 2008; Jaenisch and Young 2008; Kim et al. 2008b; Nakagawa et al. 2008; Park et al. 2008). The transcription factors that have been used for iPS cell generation have typically included a combination of Oct4, Sox2, Klf4, and cMyc or a mix of Oct4, Sox2, Nanog, and Lin28. Knowledge of the transcriptional regulatory circuitry has already provided insights into the mechanisms by which forced expression of these transcription factors leads to reprogramming of somatic cells (Jaenisch and Young 2008). For example, the interconnected autoregulatory loop of ES cells—composed of genes encoding the transcription factors Oct4, Sox2, Nanog, and Tcf3—can be jump-started by transient expression of these reprogramming factors.

Knowledge of the transcriptional regulatory circuitry

Figure 5. Model of the ES cell transcriptional regulatory circuitry. The interconnected autoregulatory loop formed by Oct4, Sox2, Nanog, and Tcf3, with input from the Wnt pathway, is shown to the left. (Top right) Active transcripts; (bottom right) inactive transcripts. (Colored ovals) Proteins; (orange rectangles) protein-coding genes; (squiggly lines) their resulting transcripts; (purple hexagons) miRNA genes. Regulation is depicted by lines, arrows, or T bars.

has recently been used to improve the reprogramming process (Marson et al. 2008a; Mikkelsen et al. 2008). The discovery that the Wnt pathway is connected directly to ES cell core regulatory circuitry (Cole et al. 2008; Tam et al. 2008; Yi et al. 2008) suggested that manipulation of the Wnt pathway might facilitate reprogramming. Indeed, addition of the Wnt3a ligand allows efficient reprogramming even in the absence of c-Myc (Marson et al. 2008a), which is important because the presence of the exogenous c-Myc oncogene in iPS cells leads to tumors in animals derived from these cells (Okita et al. 2007; Jaenisch and Young 2008). For somatic cells to adopt ES cell transcriptional regulatory circuitry, it is thought that they must silence the expression of key regulators of the somatic cell state. This was confirmed by experiments revealing that repression of key regulators of the somatic cell circuitry using RNA inhibition can substantially improve reprogramming efficiency (Mikkelsen et al. 2008).

CONCLUDING REMARKS

Knowledge of the transcriptional regulatory circuitry is important because it provides insights into the mechanisms by which key regulators control global gene expression, cell state, and development. Despite having identified only core elements of the ES cell transcriptional regulatory circuitry, important insights have been gained into the control of pluripotency and self-renewal in these cells. This knowledge has also provided insights into the mechanisms involved in reprogramming of cell state (Jaenisch and Young 2008) and has led to improved methods for reprogramming (Marson et al. 2008a; Mikkelsen et al. 2008). The new understanding of the core transcriptional regulatory circuitry in ES cells is also likely to shed light on key aspects of cancer, because many features of the ES cell gene expression program are recapitulated in cancer cells (Ben-Porath et al. 2008; Wong et al. 2008). These advances highlight the importance of future work to further map the regulatory circuitry of a wide range of cells, particularly those of medical importance.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful for the contributions made by many members of the Young and Jaenisch labs to the insights and concepts described in this paper.

REFERENCES

- Alon, U. 2007. Network motifs: Theory and experimental approaches. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **8**: 450–461.
- Amaral, P.P., Dinger, M.E., Mercer, T.R., and Mattick, J.S. 2008. The eukaryotic genome as an RNA machine. *Science* **319**: 1787–1789.
- Ambros, V. 2004. The functions of animal microRNAs. *Nature* **431**: 350–355.
- Ambrosetti, D.C., Basilico, C., and Dailey, L. 1997. Synergistic activation of the fibroblast growth factor 4 enhancer by Sox2 and Oct-3 depends on protein-protein interactions facilitated by a specific spatial arrangement of factor binding sites. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **17**: 6321–6329.
- Aoi, T., Yae, K., Nakagawa, M., Ichisaka, T., Okita, K., Takahashi, K., Chiba, T., and Yamanaka, S. 2008. Generation of pluripotent stem cells from adult mouse liver and stomach cells. *Science* **321**: 699–702.
- Avilion, A.A., Nicolis, S.K., Pevny, L.H., Perez, L., Vivian, N., and Lovell-Badge, R. 2003. Multipotent cell lineages in early mouse development depend on SOX2 function. *Genes Dev.* **17**: 126–140.
- Barski, A., Cuddapah, S., Cui, K., Roh, T.Y., Schones, D.E., Wang, Z., Wei, G., Chepelev, I., and Zhao, K. 2007. High-resolution profiling of histone methylations in the human genome. *Cell* **129**: 823–837.
- Bartel, D.P. 2004. MicroRNAs: Genomics, biogenesis, mechanism, and function. *Cell* **116**: 281–297.
- Ben-Porath, I., Thomson, M.W., Carey, V.J., Ge, R., Bell, G.W., Regev, A., and Weinberg, R.A. 2008. An embryonic stem cell-like gene expression signature in poorly differentiated aggressive human tumors. *Nat. Genet.* **40**: 499–507.
- Berger, S.L. 2007. The complex language of chromatin regulation during transcription. *Nature* **447**: 407–412.
- Bernstein, B.E., Mikkelsen, T.S., Xie, X., Kamal, M., Huebert, D.J., Cuff, J., Fry, B., Meissner, A., Wernig, M., Plath, K., et al. 2006. A bivalent chromatin structure marks key developmental genes in embryonic stem cells. *Cell* **125**: 315–326.
- Bernstein, E., Kim, S.Y., Carmell, M.A., Murchison, E.P., Alcorn, H., Li, M.Z., Mills, A.A., Elledge, S.J., Anderson, K.V., and Hannon, G.J. 2003. Dicer is essential for mouse development. *Nat. Genet.* **35**: 215–217.
- Blackwood, E.M. and Kadonaga, J.T. 1998. Going the distance: A current view of enhancer action. *Science* **281**: 60–63.
- Boyer, L.A., Lee, T.I., Cole, M.F., Johnstone, S.E., Levine, S.S., Zucker, J.P., Guenther, M.G., Kumar, R.M., Murray, H.L., Jenner, R.G., et al. 2005. Core transcriptional regulatory circuitry in human embryonic stem cells. *Cell* **122**: 947–956.
- Boyer, L.A., Plath, K., Zeitlinger, J., Brambrink, T., Medeiros, L.A., Lee, T.I., Levine, S.S., Wernig, M., Tajonar, A., Ray, M.K., et al. 2006. Polycomb complexes repress developmental regulators in murine embryonic stem cells. *Nature* **441**: 349–353.
- Breiling, A., Sessa, L., and Orlando, V. 2007. Biology of Polycomb and Trithorax group proteins. *Int. Rev. Cytol.* **258**: 83–136.
- Brivanlou, A.H. and Darnell, Jr., J.E. 2002. Signal transduction and the control of gene expression. *Science* **295**: 813–818.
- Cawley, S., Bekiranov, S., Ng, H.H., Kapranov, P., Sekinger, E.A., Kampa, D., Piccolboni, A., Sementchenko, V., Cheng, J., Williams, A.J., et al. 2004. Unbiased mapping of transcription factor binding sites along human chromosomes 21 and 22 points to widespread regulation of noncoding RNAs. *Cell* **116**: 499–509.
- Chambers, I., Colby, D., Robertson, M., Nichols, J., Lee, S., Tweedie, S., and Smith, A. 2003. Functional expression cloning of Nanog, a pluripotency sustaining factor in embryonic stem cells. *Cell* **113**: 643–655.
- Chen, X., Xu, H., Yuan, P., Fang, F., Huss, M., Vega, V.B., Wong, E., Orlov, Y.L., Zhang, W., Jiang, J., et al. 2008. Integration of external signaling pathways with the core transcriptional network in embryonic stem cells. *Cell* **133**: 1106–1117.
- Chew, J.L., Loh, Y.H., Zhang, W., Chen, X., Tam, W.L., Yeap, L.S., Li, P., Ang, Y.S., Lim, B., Robson, P., and Ng, H.H. 2005. Reciprocal transcriptional regulation of Pou5f1 and Sox2 via the Oct4/Sox2 complex in embryonic stem cells. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **25**: 6031–6046.
- Chickarmane, V., Troein, C., Nuber, U.A., Sauro, H.M., and Peterson, C. 2006. Transcriptional dynamics of the embryonic stem cell switch. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **2**: e123.
- Choi, J., Costa, M.L., Mermelstein, C.S., Chagas, C., Holtzer, S., and Holtzer, H. 1990. MyoD converts primary dermal fibroblasts, chondroblasts, smooth muscle, and retinal pigmented epithelial cells into striated mononucleated myoblasts and multinucleated myotubes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **87**: 7988–7992.
- Cole, M.F., Johnstone, S.E., Newman, J.J., Kagey, M.H., and Young, R.A. 2008. Tcf3 is an integral component of the core regulatory circuitry of embryonic stem cells. *Genes Dev.* **22**: 746–755.

- Core, L.J. and Lis, J.T. 2008. Transcription regulation through promoter-proximal pausing of RNA polymerase II. *Science* **319**: 1791–1792.
- Davis, R.L., Weintraub, H., and Lassar, A.B. 1987. Expression of a single transfected cDNA converts fibroblasts to myoblasts. *Cell* **51**: 987–1000.
- Endoh, M., Endo, T.A., Endoh, T., Fujimura, Y., Ohara, O., Toyoda, T., Otte, A.P., Okano, M., Brockdorff, N., Vidal, M., and Koseki, H. 2008. Polycomb group proteins Ring1A/B are functionally linked to the core transcriptional regulatory circuitry to maintain ES cell identity. *Development* **135**: 1513–1524.
- Evans, T., Felsenfeld, G., and Reitman, M. 1990. Control of globin gene transcription. *Annu. Rev. Cell Biol.* **6**: 95–124.
- Farh, K.K., Grimson, A., Jan, C., Lewis, B.P., Johnston, W.K., Lim, L.P., Burge, C.B., and Bartel, D.P. 2005. The widespread impact of mammalian microRNAs on mRNA repression and evolution. *Science* **310**: 1817–1821.
- Farkas, I.J., Wu, C., Chennubhotla, C., Bahar, I., and Oltvai, Z.N. 2006. Topological basis of signal integration in the transcriptional-regulatory network of the yeast, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *BMC Bioinformatics* **7**: 478.
- Faust, C., Lawson, K.A., Schork, N.J., Thiel, B., and Magnuson, T. 1998. The *Polycomb*-group gene *eed* is required for normal morphogenetic movements during gastrulation in the mouse embryo. *Development* **125**: 4495–4506.
- Fazio, T.G., Huff, J.T., and Panning, B. 2008. An RNAi screen of chromatin proteins identifies Tip60-p400 as a regulator of embryonic stem cell identity. *Cell* **134**: 162–174.
- Giaever, G., Chu, A.M., Ni, L., Connelly, C., Riles, L., Veroneau, S., Dow, S., Lucau-Danila, A., Anderson, K., Andre, B., et al. 2002. Functional profiling of the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* genome. *Nature* **418**: 387–391.
- Goll, M.G. and Bestor, T.H. 2005. Eukaryotic cytosine methyltransferases. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **74**: 481–514.
- Goodrich, J.A. and Kugel, J.F. 2006. Non-coding-RNA regulators of RNA polymerase II transcription. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **7**: 612–616.
- Greene, W.C. 1990. Regulation of HIV-1 gene expression. *Annu. Rev. Immunol.* **8**: 453–475.
- Grewal, S.I. and Elgin, S.C. 2007. Transcription and RNA interference in the formation of heterochromatin. *Nature* **447**: 399–406.
- Guenther, M.G., Levine, S.S., Boyer, L.A., Jaenisch, R., and Young, R.A. 2007. A chromatin landmark and transcription initiation at most promoters in human cells. *Cell* **130**: 77–88.
- Harbison, C.T., Gordon, D.B., Lee, T.I., Rinaldi, N.J., Macisaac, K.D., Danford, T.W., Hannett, N.M., Tagne, J.B., Reynolds, D.B., Yoo, J., et al. 2004. Transcriptional regulatory code of a eukaryotic genome. *Nature* **431**: 99–104.
- Harrison, S.C. 1991. A structural taxonomy of DNA-binding domains. *Nature* **353**: 715–719.
- Hart, A.H., Hartley, L., Ibrahim, M., and Robb, L. 2004. Identification, cloning and expression analysis of the pluripotency promoting Nanog genes in mouse and human. *Dev. Dyn.* **230**: 187–198.
- Hawkins, P.G. and Morris, K.V. 2008. RNA and transcriptional modulation of gene expression. *Cell Cycle* **7**: 602–607.
- Hendrix, D.A., Hong, J.W., Zeitlinger, J., Rokhsar, D.S., and Levine, M.S. 2008. Promoter elements associated with RNA pol II stalling in the *Drosophila* embryo. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **105**: 7762–7767.
- Hobert, O. 2008. Gene regulation by transcription factors and microRNAs. *Science* **319**: 1785–1786.
- Hunter, T. 2000. Signaling—2000 and beyond. *Cell* **100**: 113–127.
- Ivanova, N., Dobrin, R., Lu, R., Kotenko, I., Levorse, J., DeCoste, C., Schafer, X., Lun, Y., and Lemischka, I.R. 2006. Dissecting self-renewal in stem cells with RNA interference. *Nature* **442**: 533–538.
- Jaenisch, R. and Young, R. 2008. Stem cells, the molecular circuitry of pluripotency and nuclear reprogramming. *Cell* **132**: 567–582.
- Jiang, J., Chan, Y.S., Loh, Y.H., Cai, J., Tong, G.Q., Lim, C.A., Robson, P., Zhong, S., and Ng, H.H. 2008. A core Klf circuitry regulates self-renewal of embryonic stem cells. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **10**: 353–360.
- Kadonaga, J.T. 2004. Regulation of RNA polymerase II transcription by sequence-specific DNA binding factors. *Cell* **116**: 247–257.
- Kanellopoulou, C., Muljo, S.A., Kung, A.L., Ganesan, S., Drapkin, R., Jenuwein, T., Livingston, D.M., and Rajewsky, K. 2005. Dicer-deficient mouse embryonic stem cells are defective in differentiation and centromeric silencing. *Genes Dev.* **19**: 489–501.
- Kemphues, K. 2005. Essential genes. In *WormBook* (The *C. elegans* Research Community, WormBook), pp. 1–7 (<http://www.wormbook.org>).
- Kim, J., Chu, J., Shen, X., Wang, J., and Orkin, S.H. 2008a. An extended transcriptional network for pluripotency of embryonic stem cells. *Cell* **132**: 1049–1061.
- Kim, J.B., Zaehres, H., Wu, G., Gentile, L., Ko, K., Sebastiano, V., Araúzo-Bravo, M.J., Ruau, D., Han, D.W., Zenke, M., and Schöler, H.R. 2008b. Pluripotent stem cells induced from adult neural stem cells by reprogramming with two factors. *Nature* **454**: 646–650.
- Kloosterman, W.P. and Plasterk, R.H. 2006. The diverse functions of microRNAs in animal development and disease. *Dev. Cell* **11**: 441–450.
- Klose, R.J. and Bird, A.P. 2006. Genomic DNA methylation: The mark and its mediators. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **31**: 89–97.
- Komashko, V.M., Acevedo, L.G., Squazzo, S.L., Iyengar, S.S., Rabinovich, A., O'Geen, H., Green, R., and Farnham, P.J. 2008. Using ChIP-chip technology to reveal common principles of transcriptional repression in normal and cancer cells. *Genome Res.* **18**: 521–532.
- Korinek, V., Barker, N., Willert, K., Molenaar, M., Roose, J., Wagenaar, G., Markman, M., Lamers, W., Destree, O., and Clevers, H. 1998. Two members of the Tcf family implicated in Wnt/ β -catenin signaling during embryogenesis in the mouse. *Mol. Cell Biol.* **18**: 1248–1256.
- Kouzarides, T. 2007. Chromatin modifications and their function. *Cell* **128**: 693–705.
- Krek, A., Grun, D., Poy, M.N., Wolf, R., Rosenberg, L., Epstein, E.J., MacMenamin, P., da Piedade, I., Gunsalus, K.C., Stoffel, M., and Rajewsky, N. 2005. Combinatorial microRNA target predictions. *Nat. Genet.* **37**: 495–500.
- Lander, E.S., Linton, L.M., Birren, B., Nusbaum, C., Zody, M.C., Baldwin, J., Devon, K., Dewar, K., Doyle, M., FitzHugh, W., et al. 2001. Initial sequencing and analysis of the human genome. *Nature* **409**: 860–921.
- Latchman, D.S. 1997. Transcription factors: An overview. *Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol.* **29**: 1305–1312.
- Latchman, D.S. 2008. Transcription factors and human disease. In *Eukaryotic transcription factors*, 5th ed., chap. 9, pp. 373–448. Elsevier/Academic, New York.
- Lee, T.I. and Young, R.A. 2000. Transcription of eukaryotic protein-coding genes. *Annu. Rev. Genet.* **34**: 77–137.
- Lee, T.I., Jenner, R.G., Boyer, L.A., Guenther, M.G., Levine, S.S., Kumar, R.M., Chevalier, B., Johnstone, S.E., Cole, M.F., Isono, K., et al. 2006. Control of developmental regulators by Polycomb in human embryonic stem cells. *Cell* **125**: 301–313.
- Lee, T.I., Rinaldi, N.J., Robert, F., Odom, D.T., Bar-Joseph, Z., Gerber, G.K., Hannett, N.M., Harbison, C.T., Thompson, C.M., Simon, I., et al. 2002. Transcriptional regulatory networks in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Science* **298**: 799–804.
- Levine, M. and Tjian, R. 2003. Transcription regulation and animal diversity. *Nature* **424**: 147–151.
- Lewis, B.P., Burge, C.B., and Bartel, D.P. 2005. Conserved seed pairing, often flanked by adenosines, indicates that thousands of human genes are microRNA targets. *Cell* **120**: 15–20.
- Li, B., Carey, M., and Workman, J. L. 2007. The role of chromatin during transcription. *Cell* **128**: 707–719.
- Lim, L.P., Lau, N.C., Garrett-Engle, P., Grimson, A., Schelter, J.M., Castle, J., Bartel, D.P., Linsley, P.S. and Johnson, J.M. 2005. Microarray analysis shows that some microRNAs downregulate large numbers of target mRNAs. *Nature* **433**: 769–773.
- Logan, C.Y. and Nusse, R. 2004. The Wnt signaling pathway in

- development and disease. *Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.* **20**: 781–810.
- Loh, Y.H., Wu, Q., Chew, J.L., Vega, V.B., Zhang, W., Chen, X., Bourque, G., George, J., Leong, B., Liu, J., et al. 2006. The Oct4 and Nanog transcription network regulates pluripotency in mouse embryonic stem cells. *Nat. Genet.* **38**: 431–440.
- Ma, H.W., Kumar, B., Dittes, U., Gunzer, F., Buer, J., and Zeng, A.P. 2004. An extended transcriptional regulatory network of *Escherichia coli* and analysis of its hierarchical structure and network motifs. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **32**: 6643–6649.
- Makeyev, E.V. and Maniatis, T. 2008. Multilevel regulation of gene expression by microRNAs. *Science* **319**: 1789–1790.
- Marson, A., Foreman, R., Chevalier, B., Bilodeau, S., Kahn, M., Young, R., and Jaenisch, R. 2008a. Wnt signaling promotes reprogramming of somatic cells to pluripotency. *Cell Stem Cell* **3**: 132–135.
- Marson, A., Levine, S.S., Cole, M.F., Frampton, G.M., Brambrink, T., Johnstone, S., Guenther, M.G., Johnston, W.K., Wernig, M., Newman, J., et al. 2008b. Connecting microRNA genes to the core transcriptional regulatory circuitry of embryonic stem cells. *Cell* **134**: 521–533.
- Martinez-Antonio, A. and Collado-Vides, J. 2003. Identifying global regulators in transcriptional regulatory networks in bacteria. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* **6**: 482–489.
- Meissner, R., Wernig, M., and Jaenisch, R. 2007. Direct reprogramming of genetically unmodified fibroblasts into pluripotent stem cells. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **25**: 1177–1181.
- Meister, G. 2007. miRNAs get an early start on translational silencing. *Cell* **131**: 25–28.
- Merrill, B.J., Pasolli, H.A., Polak, L., Rendl, M., Garcia-Garcia, M.J., Anderson, K.V., and Fuchs, E. 2004. Tcf3: A transcriptional regulator of axis induction in the early embryo. *Development* **131**: 263–274.
- Mikkelsen, T.S., Hanna, J., Zhang, X., Ku, M., Wernig, M., Schorderet, P., Bernstein, B.E., Jaenisch, R., Lander, E.S., and Meissner, A. 2008. Dissecting direct reprogramming through integrative genomic analysis. *Nature* **454**: 49–55.
- Mikkelsen, T.S., Ku, M., Jaffe, D.B., Issac, B., Lieberman, E., Giannoukos, G., Alvarez, P., Brockman, W., Kim, T.K., Koche, R.P., et al. 2007. Genome-wide maps of chromatin state in pluripotent and lineage-committed cells. *Nature* **448**: 553–560.
- Mitsui, K., Tokuzawa, Y., Itoh, H., Segawa, K., Murakami, M., Takahashi, K., Maruyama, M., Maeda, M., and Yamanaka, S. 2003. The homeoprotein Nanog is required for maintenance of pluripotency in mouse epiblast and ES cells. *Cell* **113**: 631–642.
- Miyabayashi, T., Teo, J.L., Yamamoto, M., McMillan, M., Nguyen, C., and Kahn, M. 2007. Wnt/ β -catenin/CBP signaling maintains long-term murine embryonic stem cell pluripotency. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **104**: 5668–5673.
- Moazed, D., Buhler, M., Buker, S.M., Colmenares, S.U., Gerace, E.L., Gerber, S.A., Hong, E.J., Motamedi, M.R., Verdel, A., Villen, J., and Gygi, S.P. 2006. Studies on the mechanism of RNAi-dependent heterochromatin assembly. *Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol.* **71**: 461–471.
- Murchison, E.P., Partridge, J.F., Tam, O.H., Cheloufi, S., and Hannon, G.J. 2005. Characterization of Dicer-deficient murine embryonic stem cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **102**: 12135–12140.
- Muse, G.W., Gilchrist, D.A., Nechaev, S., Shah, R., Parker, J.S., Grissom, S.F., Zeitlinger, J., and Adelman, K. 2007. RNA polymerase is poised for activation across the genome. *Nat. Genet.* **39**: 1507–1511.
- Nakagawa, M., Koyanagi, M., Tanabe, K., Takahashi, K., Ichisaka, T., Aoi, T., Okita, K., Mochizuki, Y., Takizawa, N., and Yamanaka, S. 2008. Generation of induced pluripotent stem cells without Myc from mouse and human fibroblasts. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **26**: 101–106.
- Nechaev, S. and Adelman, K. 2008. Promoter-proximal pol II: When stalling speeds things up. *Cell Cycle* **7**: 1539–1544.
- Nichols, J., Zevnik, B., Anastassiadis, K., Niwa, H., Klewe-Nebenius, D., Chambers, I., Schöler, H., and Smith, A. 1998. Formation of pluripotent stem cells in the mammalian embryo depends on the POU transcription factor Oct4. *Cell* **95**: 379–391.
- O'Carroll, D., Erhardt, S., Pagani, M., Barton, S.C., Surani, M.A., and Jenuwein, T. 2001. The Polycomb-group gene *Ezh2* is required for early mouse development. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **21**: 4330–4336.
- Odom, D.T., Dowell, R.D., Jacobsen, E.S., Neklodova, L., Rolfe, P.A., Danford, T.W., Gifford, D.K., Fraenkel, E., Bell, G.I., and Young, R.A. 2006. Core transcriptional regulatory circuitry in human hepatocytes. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* **2**: 0017.
- Odom, D.T., Zizlsperger, N., Gordon, D.B., Bell, G.W., Rinaldi, N.J., Murray, H.L., Volkert, T.L., Schreiber, J., Rolfe, P.A., Gifford, D.K., Fraenkel, E., Bell, G.I. and Young, R.A. 2004. Control of pancreas and liver gene expression by HNF transcription factors. *Science* **303**: 1378–1381.
- Ogata, K., Sato, K., and Tahirov, T.H. 2003. Eukaryotic transcriptional regulatory complexes: Cooperativity from near and afar. *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* **13**: 40–48.
- Ogawa, K., Nishinakamura, R., Iwamatsu, Y., Shimamoto, D., and Niwa, H. 2006. Synergistic action of Wnt and LIF in maintaining pluripotency of mouse ES cells. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **343**: 159–166.
- Okita, K., Ichisaka, T., and Yamanaka, S. 2007. Generation of germline-competent induced pluripotent stem cells. *Nature* **448**: 313–317.
- Orlando, V. 2003. Polycomb, epigenomes, and control of cell identity. *Cell* **112**: 599–606.
- Orphanides, G. and Reinberg, D. 2002. A unified theory of gene expression. *Cell* **108**: 439–451.
- Pabo, C.O. and Sauer, R.T. 1992. Transcription factors: Structural families and principles of DNA recognition. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **61**: 1053–1095.
- Pan, G., Tian, S., Nie, J., Yang, C., Ruotti, V., Wei, H., Jonsdottir, G.A., Stewart, R., and Thomson, J.A. 2007. Whole-genome analysis of histone H3 lysine 4 and lysine 27 methylation in human embryonic stem cells. *Cell Stem Cell* **1**: 299–312.
- Panne, D., Maniatis, T., and Harrison, S.C. 2004. Crystal structure of ATF-2/c-Jun and IRF-3 bound to the interferon- β enhancer. *EMBO J.* **23**: 4384–4393.
- Park, I.H., Zhao, R., West, J.A., Yabuuchi, A., Huo, H., Ince, T.A., Lerou, P.H., Lensch, M.W. and Daley, G.Q. 2008. Reprogramming of human somatic cells to pluripotency with defined factors. *Nature* **451**: 141–146.
- Pasini, D., Bracken, A.P., Jensen, M.R., Lazzerini Denchi, E., and Helin, K. 2004. Suz12 is essential for mouse development and for EZH2 histone methyltransferase activity. *EMBO J.* **23**: 4061–4071.
- Pereira, L., Yi, F., and Merrill, B. J. 2006. Repression of Nanog gene transcription by Tcf3 limits embryonic stem cell self-renewal. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **26**: 7479–7491.
- Pirrotta, V. 1998. Polycomb-ing the genome: PcG, trxB, and chromatin silencing. *Cell* **93**: 333–336.
- Pokholok, D.K., Zeitlinger, J., Hannett, N.M., Reynolds, D.B., and Young, R.A. 2006. Activated signal transduction kinases frequently occupy target genes. *Science* **313**: 533–536.
- Rada-Iglesias, A., Ameer, A., Kapranov, P., Enroth, S., Komorowski, J., Gingeras, T.R., and Wadelius, C. 2008. Whole-genome maps of USF1 and USF2 binding and histone H3 acetylation reveal new aspects of promoter structure and candidate genes for common human disorders. *Genome Res.* **18**: 380–392.
- Rada-Iglesias, A., Wallerman, O., Koch, C., Ameer, A., Enroth, S., Clelland, G., Wester, K., Wilcox, S., Dovey, O.M., Ellis, P.D., et al. 2005. Binding sites for metabolic disease related transcription factors inferred at base pair resolution by chromatin immunoprecipitation and genomic microarrays. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **14**: 3435–3447.
- Reed, B.D., Charos, A.E., Szekely, A.M., Weissman, S.M., and Snyder, M. 2008. Genome-wide occupancy of SREBP1 and its partners NFY and SP1 reveals novel functional roles and combinatorial regulation of distinct classes of genes. *PLoS Genet.* **4**: e1000133.
- Remenyi, A., Schöler, H.R., and Wilmanns, M. 2004. Combinatorial control of gene expression. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **11**: 812–815.
- Reya, T. and Clevers, H. 2005. Wnt signalling in stem cells and

- cancer. *Nature* **434**: 843–850.
- Ringrose, L. and Paro, R. 2004. Epigenetic regulation of cellular memory by the Polycomb and Trithorax group proteins. *Annu. Rev. Genet.* **38**: 413–443.
- Rinn, J.L., Kertesz, M., Wang, J.K., Squazzo, S.L., Xu, X., Bruggmann, S.A., Goodnough, L.H., Helms, J.A., Farnham, P.J., Segal, E., and Chang, H.Y. 2007. Functional demarcation of active and silent chromatin domains in human *HOX* loci by noncoding RNAs. *Cell* **129**: 1311–1323.
- Sato, N., Meijer, L., Skaltsounis, L., Greengard, P., and Brivanlou, A.H. 2004. Maintenance of pluripotency in human and mouse embryonic stem cells through activation of Wnt signaling by a pharmacological GSK-3-specific inhibitor. *Nat. Med.* **10**: 55–63.
- Schöler, H.R., Dressler, G.R., Balling, R., Rohdewohld, H., and Gruss, P. 1990. Oct-4: A germline-specific transcription factor mapping to the mouse t-complex. *EMBO J.* **9**: 2185–2195.
- Schuettengruber, B., Chourrout, D., Vervoort, M., Leblanc, B., and Cavalli, G. 2007. Genome regulation by polycomb and trithorax proteins. *Cell* **128**: 735–745.
- Schwartz, Y.B. and Pirrotta, V. 2007. Polycomb silencing mechanisms and the management of genomic programmes. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **8**: 9–22.
- Shyamsundar, R., Kim, Y.H., Higgins, J.P., Montgomery, K., Jorden, M., Sethuraman, A., van de Rijn, M., Botstein, D., Brown, P.O., and Pollack, J.R. 2005. A DNA microarray survey of gene expression in normal human tissues. *Genome Biol.* **6**: R22.
- Singla, D.K., Schneider, D.J., LeWinter, M.M., and Sobel, B.E. 2006. wnt3a but not wnt11 supports self-renewal of embryonic stem cells. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **345**: 789–795.
- Sinkkonen, L., Hugenschmidt, T., Berninger, P., Gaidatzis, D., Mohn, F., Artus-Revel, C.G., Zavolan, M., Svoboda, P., and Filipowicz, W. 2008. MicroRNAs control de novo DNA methylation through regulation of transcriptional repressors in mouse embryonic stem cells. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **15**: 259–267.
- Stefani, G. and Slack, F.J. 2008. Small non-coding RNAs in animal development. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **9**: 219–230.
- Stock, J.K., Giadrossi, S., Casanova, M., Brookes, E., Vidal, M., Koseki, H., Brockdorff, N., Fisher, A.G., and Pombo, A. 2007. Ring1-mediated ubiquitination of H2A restrains poised RNA polymerase II at bivalent genes in mouse ES cells. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **9**: 1428–1435.
- Su, A.I., Wiltshire, T., Batalov, S., Lapp, H., Ching, K.A., Block, D., Zhang, J., Soden, R., Hayakawa, M., Kreiman, G., Cooke, M.P., Walker, J.R., and Hogenesch, J.B. 2004. A gene atlas of the mouse and human protein-encoding transcriptomes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **101**: 6062–6067.
- Takahashi, K. and Yamanaka, S. 2006. Induction of pluripotent stem cells from mouse embryonic and adult fibroblast cultures by defined factors. *Cell* **126**: 663–676.
- Takahashi, K., Tanabe, K., Ohnuki, M., Narita, M., Ichisaka, T., Tomoda, K., and Yamanaka, S. 2007. Induction of pluripotent stem cells from adult human fibroblasts by defined factors. *Cell* **131**: 861–872.
- Tam, W.L., Lim, C.Y., Han, J., Zhang, J., Ang, Y.S., Ng, H.H., Yang, H., and Lim, B. 2008. T-cell factor 3 regulates embryonic stem cell pluripotency and self-renewal by the transcriptional control of multiple lineage pathways. *Stem Cells* **26**: 2019–2031.
- Turek-Plewa, J. and Jagodziński, P.P. 2005. The role of mammalian DNA methyltransferases in the regulation of gene expression. *Cell. Mol. Biol. Lett.* **10**: 631–647.
- Valencia-Sanchez, M.A., Liu, J., Hannon, G.J., and Parker, R. 2006. Control of translation and mRNA degradation by miRNAs and siRNAs. *Genes Dev.* **20**: 515–524.
- Verdel, A., Jia, S., Gerber, S., Sugiyama, T., Gygi, S., Grewal, S.I., and Moazed, D. 2004. RNAi-mediated targeting of heterochromatin by the RITS complex. *Science* **303**: 672–676.
- Vickaryous, M.K. and Hall, B.K. 2006. Human cell type diversity, evolution, development, and classification with special reference to cells derived from the neural crest. *Biol. Rev. Camb. Philos. Soc.* **81**: 425–455.
- Villard, J. 2004. Transcription regulation and human diseases. *Swiss Med. Wkly.* **134**: 571–579.
- Wang, Y., Medvid, R., Melton, C., Jaenisch, R., and Blumhagen, R. 2007. DGCR8 is essential for microRNA biogenesis and silencing of embryonic stem cell self-renewal. *Nat. Genet.* **39**: 380–385.
- Wang, Z., Zang, C., Rosenfeld, J.A., Schones, D.E., Barski, A., Cuddapah, S., Cui, K., Roh, T.Y., Peng, W., Zhang, M.Q., and Zhao, K. 2008. Combinatorial patterns of histone acetylations and methylations in the human genome. *Nat. Genet.* **40**: 897–903.
- Weintraub, H., Tapscott, S.J., Davis, R.L., Thayer, M.J., Adam, M.A., Lassar, A.B., and Miller, A.D. 1989. Activation of muscle-specific genes in pigment, nerve, fat, liver, and fibroblast cell lines by forced expression of MyoD. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **86**: 5434–5438.
- Weintraub, H., Davis, R., Tapscott, S., Thayer, M., Krause, M., Benzeval, R., Blackwell, T.K., Turner, D., Rupp, R., Hollenberg, S., et al. 1991. The myoD gene family: Nodal point during specification of the muscle cell lineage. *Science* **251**: 761–766.
- Wernig, M., Meissner, A., Cassady, J.P., and Jaenisch, R. 2008. c-Myc is dispensable for direct reprogramming of mouse fibroblasts. *Cell Stem Cell* **2**: 10–12.
- Wernig, M., Meissner, A., Foreman, R., Brambrink, T., Ku, M., Hochedlinger, K., Bernstein, B.E., and Jaenisch, R. 2007. In vitro reprogramming of fibroblasts into a pluripotent ES-cell-like state. *Nature* **448**: 318–324.
- West, A.G. and Fraser, P. 2005. Remote control of gene transcription. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* (spec. no. 1) **14**: R101–R111.
- Winzler, E.A., Shoemaker, D.D., Astromoff, A., Liang, H., Anderson, K., Andre, B., Bangham, R., Benito, R., Boeke, J.D., Bussey, H., et al. 1999. Functional characterization of the *S. cerevisiae* genome by gene deletion and parallel analysis. *Science* **285**: 901–906.
- Wong, D.J., Liu, H., Ridky, T.W., Cassarino, D., Segal, E., and Chang, H.Y. 2008. Module map of stem cell genes guides creation of epithelial cancer stem cells. *Cell Stem Cell* **2**: 333–344.
- Wu, Q., Chen, X., Zhang, J., Loh, Y.H., Low, T.Y., Zhang, W., Sze, S.K., Lim, B., and Ng, H.H. 2006. Sall4 interacts with Nanog and co-occupies Nanog genomic sites in embryonic stem cells. *J. Biol. Chem.* **281**: 24090–24094.
- Yang, S.H., Sharrocks, A.D., and Whitmarsh, A.J. 2003. Transcriptional regulation by the MAP kinase signaling cascades. *Gene* **320**: 3–21.
- Yi, F., Pereira, L. and Merrill, B.J. 2008. Tcf3 functions as a steady-state limiter of transcriptional programs of mouse embryonic stem cell self-renewal. *Stem Cells* **26**: 1951–1960.
- Yu, J., Vodyanik, M.A., Smuga-Otto, K., Antosiewicz-Bourget, J., Frane, J.L., Tian, S., Nie, J., Jonsdottir, G.A., Ruotti, V., Stewart, R., Slukvin, I.I., and Thomson, J.A. 2007. Induced pluripotent stem cell lines derived from human somatic cells. *Science* **318**: 1917–1920.
- Zaratigui, M., Irvine, D.V., and Martienssen, R.A. 2007. Non-coding RNAs and gene silencing. *Cell* **128**: 763–776.
- Zeitlinger, J., Stark, A., Kellis, M., Hong, J.W., Nechaev, S., Adelman, K., Levine, M., and Young, R.A. 2007. RNA polymerase stalling at developmental control genes in the *Drosophila melanogaster* embryo. *Nat. Genet.* **39**: 1512–1516.
- Zhang, J.Z., Gao, W., Yang, H.B., Zhang, B., Zhu, Z.Y., and Xue, Y.F. 2006. Screening for genes essential for mouse embryonic stem cell self-renewal using a subtractive RNA interference library. *Stem Cells* **24**: 2661–2668.
- Zhao, X.D., Han, X., Chew, J.L., Liu, J., Chiu, K.P., Choo, A., Orlov, Y.L., Sung, W.K., Shahab, A., Kuznetsov, V.A., et al. 2007. Whole-genome mapping of histone H3 Lys4 and 27 trimethylations reveals distinct genomic compartments in human embryonic stem cells. *Cell Stem Cell* **1**: 286–298.

Cold Spring Harbor Symposia on Quantitative Biology

Mapping Key Features of Transcriptional Regulatory Circuitry in Embryonic Stem Cells

M.F. Cole and R.A. Young

Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol 2008 73: 183-193 originally published online November 6, 2008

Access the most recent version at doi:[10.1101/sqb.2008.73.027](https://doi.org/10.1101/sqb.2008.73.027)

References

This article cites 145 articles, 39 of which can be accessed free at:
<http://symposium.cshlp.org/content/73/183.full.html#ref-list-1>

License

Email Alerting Service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article or [click here](#).

To subscribe to *Cold Spring Harbor Symposia on Quantitative Biology* go to:
<http://symposium.cshlp.org/subscriptions>