Integrating the Circadian Oscillator into the Life of the Cyanobacterial Cell
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In two decades, the study of circadian rhythms in cyanobacteria has gone from observations of phenomena in intractable species to the development of a model organism for mechanistic study, atomic-resolution structures of components, and reconstruction of a circadian biochemical oscillation in vitro. With sophisticated biochemical, biophysical, genetic, and genomic tools in place, the circadian clock of the unicellular cyanobacterium *Synechococcus elongatus* is poised to be the first for which a systems-level understanding can be achieved.

INTRODUCTION

Initial observations of what are now accepted as clock-controlled processes in cyanobacteria were reported in the mid-1980s by scientists working with natural isolates of diverse species (Ditty et al. 2003). Diurnal rhythms in nitrogen fixation, photosynthesis, amino acid uptake, and cell division were not immediately interpreted as the consequence of an endogenous biological clock. At least two groups recognized that these rhythms were reminiscent of eukaryotic circadian cycles (Sweeney and Borgese 1989; Huang et al. 1990), and T.-C. Huang’s group performed experiments to demonstrate that rhythms of amino acid uptake in *Synechococcus* (now *Cyanothecae*) persisted under constant conditions, reset the timing of peak activity in response to an environmental signal, and are temperature-compensated (Huang et al. 1990). With these three criteria fulfilled, a cyanobacterial rhythm was demonstrated to share the hallmarks of eukaryotic circadian rhythms (Dunlap et al. 2004).

EMERGENCE OF *SYNECHOCOCCUS ELONGATUS* PCC 7942 AS A PROKARYOTIC MODEL ORGANISM FOR CIRCADIAN RESEARCH

None of the strains in which rhythmic behavior was first identified is amenable to genetic manipulation, which left the investigation of the cyanobacterial circadian mechanism at a standstill until a genetic model system could be developed. The cyanobacterium *S. elongatus* had not been reported to exhibit any rhythmic behaviors, but *S. elongatus* PCC 7942 was then and remains among the best-developed cyanobacterial genetic systems, and our laboratory was at the forefront of developing molecular tools and protocols for the species (Golden et al. 1987; Golden 1988). M.R. Schaefer in our laboratory (M.R. Schaefer, unpubl.) had noted rhythmic reporter expression in *S. elongatus* during growth under continuous culture conditions over several days. Technician C.A. Strayer had already created marine bacterial luciferase gene fusions as a tool for studying light-regulated photosynthesis genes, based on work in *Streptomyces* by A. Schauer (Schauer et al. 1988).

Moreover, we were aware of the reports of circadian rhythmicity in *Synechococcus* (now *Cyanothecae*) RF-1 through interactions with our colleague V. Cassone. Circadian biologists C.H. Johnson (Vanderbilt University) and T. Kondo (then at the National Institute for Basic Biology in Okazaki, Japan) were in search of a tractable microbial circadian system, and they contacted our lab with the premise that perhaps all cyanobacteria have a clock and that reporter genes might turn a genetically tractable strain into a circadian model organism, as had been done by the S.A. Kay laboratory for the plant *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Millar et al. 1992). A cyanobacterial genetic model was born in 1992, when expression of luciferase, driven by the promoter for the photosynthesis gene *psbAl*, proved to be robustly rhythmic (Kondo et al. 1993).

During the next few years, an international team established baseline data and sophisticated manipulation and analysis tools for circadian rhythms in *S. elongatus*. T. Kondo and colleague M. Ishiura in Okazaki were joined by students S. Aoki and S. Kutsuna; C.H. Johnson at Vanderbilt by student Y. Liu; and our lab at Texas A&M by postdoctoral scientists N.F. Tsionoemras and C.R. Andersson and student N.V. Lebedeva. Together, these researchers quickly showed that luciferase accurately reports gene expression in *S. elongatus* (Liu et al. 1995a), that essentially all loci in the organism are expressed rhythmically (Liu et al. 1995b), and that cyanobacterial rhythms of gene expression continue even when cells are dividing faster than once per day (Kondo et al. 1997). A critical advance was the design by T. Kondo of an instrument and software that could acquire bioluminescence data from thousands of colonies over the course of several days, allowing the detection of mutants defective in specific circadian properties (Kondo et al. 1994). This custom instrument, affectionately referred to in the Golden and Johnson labs as the “Kondotron” (and more modestly as “the turntable” in Japan) was used extensively in the Kondo-Ishiura laboratory. Large-scale screening capabilities were extended to the Johnson and Golden labs using, respectively, Kondotron technology and the Packard TopCount luminometer that had been developed for circadian use by the S.A. Kay laboratory (Strayer et al. 2000).
The first mutants to emerge from large-scale mutagenesis procedures turned out to be the most central (Kondo et al. 1994); however, they were not the first whose loci were identified (Tsinoremas et al. 1996; Kutsuna et al. 1998), owing to the greater ease of retrieving physically tagged loci than chemically induced mutant alleles. Kondotron screening yielded a plethora of mutants with altered circadian periods or arrhythmic reporter gene expression after chemical mutagenesis (Fig. 1) (Kondo et al. 1994). Strikingly, all mutant phenotypes were complemented or otherwise altered by the introduction of a single segment of *S. elongatus* DNA from a library (Ishiura et al. 1998). The overlapping segment among several positive library clones carried three open reading frames that did not match any characterized genes in sequence databases and bore no resemblance to cloned clock genes from eukaryotic organisms. They were named *kaiA*, *kaiB*, and *kaiC* for the Japanese kanji Kai, which implies a cycle. Subsequent work in the Kondo lab would show conclusively that their products comprise a circadian oscillator (Nakajima et al. 2005).

**THE KAI OSCILLATOR OF S. ELONGATUS**

The *kaiABC* locus is arranged as three adjacent genes, with a promoter preceding *kaiA* and *kaiB* (Ishiura et al. 1998). The *kaiB* and *kaiC* genes are expressed as a dicistronic operon. Because overexpression of *kaiA* stimulates activity of the *kaiBC* promoter and overexpression of *kaiC* represses it, parallels were drawn to the transcription-translation feedback loops of eukaryotic circadian clock models (Ishiura et al. 1998). From the beginning, however, some of the data did not fit this scheme. For example, the influence of *kaiC* on *kaiBC* expression is not solely negative; KaiC is necessary for full expression of the *kaiBC* promoter. Subsequent work would show that the KaiABC oscillator uses a fundamentally posttranslational mechanism of action (Xu et al. 2003; Nakahira et al. 2004; Ditty et al. 2005; Tomita et al. 2005).

The *kaiA*, *kaiB*, and *kaiC* nucleotide sequences yielded little predictive information regarding their functions (Ishiura et al. 1998). Their only homologs at the time of their initial description in 1997 were unannotated open reading frames in the first entirely sequenced cyanobacterial genome, that of *Synechocystis* PCC 6803 (http://bacteria.kazusa.or.jp/cyanobase/). Among dozens of finished cyanobacterial genome sequences available today (http://www.genomesonline.org/gold.cgi), the *kaiB* and *kaiC* genes are present and adjacently arranged in all but one, *Gloeobacter violaceus* PCC 7421, which is also the only known strain to lack thylakoid membranes to house the photosynthetic machinery (http://bacteria.kazusa.or.jp/cyanobase/). Potential homologs of *kaiB* and *kaiC* are also present in some green and purple photosynthetic bacteria and some Archaea, but *kaiA* appears to be exclusively cyanobacterial (Dvornyk et al. 2003). Some cyanobacteria, notably, the abundant *Prochlorococcus* species of the open ocean, also lack *kaiA*.
Only KaiC had some predictable activities. Its Walker box-P-loop motifs suggested nucleotide binding (Ishiiura et al. 1998), the similarity of its amino- and carboxy-terminal halves suggested an iteration in the structure, and general resemblance to the RecA/DNAB superfamily (Leipe et al. 2000; Vakonakis and LiWang 2004) hinted at a multimeric ring structure. Indeed, KaiC binds ATP, autophosphorylates on serine and threonine residues, and forms hexameric rings (Mori et al. 2002; Hayashi et al. 2003; Nishiwaki et al. 2004; Pattanayek et al. 2004; Vakonakis et al. 2004b). The featureless sequences of KaiA and KaiB required that their functions be inferred indirectly by their influence on KaiC phosphorylation.

Structural analysis revealed that KaiA has two independently folded domains, of which the carboxy-terminal portion (C-KaiA) is dimerized and shares with the full-length KaiA protein the activity of stimulating KaiC phosphorylation (Williams et al. 2002; Uzumaki et al. 2004; Vakonakis et al. 2004b). The lack of bioinformatics data for this domain reflected genuine novelty, as C-KaiA established a new self-contained, X-class, four-helix bundle fold (Vakonakis et al. 2004b). The amino-terminal domain (N-KaiA), not involved in direct interaction with KaiC and not universally present among diverse cyanobacterial species, shares conservation in structure, although not in primary sequence, with signal transduction receiver domains (Williams et al. 2002). The biochemical function of N-KaiA is different from that of bona fide receiver domains as it lacks the active-site residues needed for phosphoryl transfer, which is the established activity of receivers. More likely, associations at the interface of amino- and carboxy-terminal domains modify interaction of the carboxy-terminal dimer in its interaction with KaiC. Such a mechanism provides an entry point via N-KaiA for external stimuli to impinge on the Kai oscillator (Fig. 2a).

The interaction of KaiA with KaiC occurs specifically with a peptide at the extreme carboxyl terminus of KaiC (Vakonakis and LiWang 2004). The recent structural determination of KaiC reveals that part of this peptide is tucked into the main hexameric ring as a loop, with an extension protruding from the doughnut (Pattanayek et al. 2004). The straightened conformation of this KaiC segment with C-KaiA (Vakonakis and LiWang 2004), the receiver-fold identity of N-KaiA, and the observation that N-KaiA affects KaiC phosphorylation (Williams et al. 2002) (apparently without direct interactions) all come together in the following model, developed in collaboration with A. LiWang, that connects the input pathways of the clock with the oscillator in *S. elongatus*. We propose that an input pathway impinges on N-KaiA, altering the interface between the KaiA amino- and carboxy-terminal domains and thereby modifying the dimeric structure of C-KaiA (Fig. 2a). This conformational change affects the affinity of C-KaiA for the carboxy-terminal extension on KaiC. In this model, the function of KaiA is to grab the protruding peptide from KaiC and pull the loop out of the folded domain, thereby stimulating the autokinase activity of KaiC (Fig. 2b). In this way, oscillator activity could be hastened or slowed by the input pathways and their influence over KaiA-KaiC-binding activity.

KaiB remains the least understood of the Kai oscillator proteins. It is multimeric in structure, having been reported by different groups to be a dimer (Garces et al. 2004) or a tetramer (Hitomi et al. 2005; Iwase et al. 2005); this lack of agreement may reflect a difference among species, genuine alternative quaternary structures of the protein, or simply differences in interpretation of structural data. The known function of KaiB is to block KaiA-induced autophosphorylation of hyperphosphorylated but not hypophosphorylated KaiC (Williams et al. 2002; Kitayama et al. 2003; Xu et al. 2003; Mori et al. 2007). The combined effects of KaiA and KaiB on KaiC phosphorylation, and the significance of these activities for circadian oscillation, were shown most dramatically by the T. Kondo lab, who reconstituted in vitro a temperature-compensated oscillation of KaiC phosphorylation from a simple mixture of the Kai proteins and ATP (Nakajima et al. 2005). The cycling appearance of a slower-migrating phosphorylated KaiC band recurs with a cycle time of 22–24 hours. C.H. Johnson and colleagues have visualized protein complexes over a time course of this in vitro reaction and confirmed that KaiB comes into play after KaiA is already associated with KaiC (Mori et al. 2007). This finding was predicted by the fact that KaiB does not seem to stimulate dephosphorylation of KaiC in the absence of KaiA (Williams et al. 2002; Kitayama et al. 2003; Xu et al. 2003).
Figure 3. Schematic representation of the internal timing mechanism in *S. elongatus*. (1) The Kai-based oscillator is synchronized with the external environment through input pathways. Daily cues, such as fluctuations in light, are interpreted through the input pathway components Pex (described in Kutsuna et al. 1998), LdpA, and CikA. LdpA and CikA likely sense changes in light quantity via modifications in metabolism that effect changes in the cellular redox state. LdpA is a redox-active Fe-S protein, and CikA directly binds a quinone molecule (Q), which alters CikA abundance in the cell. Pex protein represses expression from, the promoter of *kaiA* (Kutsuna et al. 2007). The combined information from these proteins is predicted to feed into and slow down the chemical oscillation of the Kai proteins because the absence of any one of the input pathway components results in a shorter circadian rhythm, reflective of a faster clock. (2) The oscillation in KaiC phosphorylation results from the opposing actions of KaiA, which stimulates KaiC autokinase activity, and KaiB, which abolishes the positive effect of KaiA. Increasing evidence supports the hypothesis that the phosphorylation state of KaiC affects the ability of the clock to reset properly. (3) The SasA protein interacts with KaiC through its amino terminus (hexagonal domain), which is similar in sequence, but not structure, to KaiB. Temporal information is transduced from the Kai oscillator to SasA through the stimulation of SasA autophosphorylation by KaiC. SasA functions as an effector that phenotypically affects the oscillation of cellular rhythms, which would control accessibility of transcriptional machinery to promoter regions. (4) Additionally, the Kai oscillator regulates the compaction rhythm of the cyanobacterial nucleoid (double-strand loop), which would undergo reduction-oxidation transitions (Ivleva et al. 2005). The first is LdpA, for light-dependent period, which is an iron-sulfur cluster-containing protein (Katayama et al. 2003). Consistent with its cofactors, LdpA undergoes reduction-oxidation transitions. The second is CikA, which has a fixed circadian period regardless of ambient light intensity. Wild-type *S. elongatus* follows Aschoff’s Rule, such that the period is shorter under high-light conditions and longer under lower light, with a range of about 24–25 hours. The LdpA mutant is locked at the short end of the range (Katayama et al. 2003). Overexpression of LdpA lengthens circadian period by about 1 hour (Ivleva et al. 2005).

Another factor that is needed to transmit environmental cues to the circadian clock is CikA (circadian input kinase), a histidine protein kinase whose activity is required for resetting the phase of circadian rhythms (Schmitz et al. 2000). A *cikA* null mutant is “blind” to a 5-hour dark pulse administered during free-running conditions in constant light; in contrast, the wild type will reset the timing of the next peak by up to 8 hours, depending on the circadian time at which the dark pulse is administered. When either LdpA or CikA is expressed with a 6-histidine affinity tag and retrieved from cyanobacterial cells, both KaiA and KaiC copurify with them (Ivleva et al. 2005, 2006). Likewise, His-tagged KaiC retrieves CikA (Ivleva et al. 2006). These data provide biochemical evidence that the input and oscillator divisions of the clock are physically associated in *S. elongatus*.

CikA includes a GAF, a histidine protein kinase (HPK), and a receiver-like domain (Mutsuda et al. 2003). Although GAF is a chromophore-binding domain in phytochrome-type photoreceptors, it does not seem to serve that function in CikA: The cysteine residue necessary for bilin attachment is absent, and CikA purified from *S. elongatus* does not carry a covalently bound cofactor. The HPK domain conforms in both sequence and biochemical activity with well-characterized members of this family from diverse bacteria. The receiver-like domain is recognizable in sequence as a member of that class, but it lacks the crucial aspartate residue needed to perform receiver phosphoryltransfer activity. Thus, like N-KaiA, the carboxy-terminal domain of CikA is a *pseudo*-receiver (PsR) (Mutsuda et al. 2003; Gao et al. 2007).

The PsR domain of CikA serves several important func-
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...tions in the protein (Ivleva et al. 2006; Zhang et al. 2006). It represses kinase activity, likely by associating with the HPK domain as a bona fide receiver and thereby occluding the active-site histidine (Zhang et al. 2006; Gao et al. 2007). Removal of PsR markedly increases auto-phosphorylation activity of the HPK domain (Zhang et al. 2006). Fusion of a fluorescent protein, ZsGreen, to the amino terminus of CikA produces a fully functional protein that localizes to the pole of the cell. Removal of the PsR domain blocks localization and left the fluorescent fusion distributed throughout the cell. These results are consistent with genetic evidence that the PsR domain is used to interact with one or more partners and that those components are related to the clock. Whereas a cikA null mutant still exhibits circadian rhythms, albeit with a reduced amplitude and shortened circadian period, a strain in which cikA is overexpressed is arrhythmic (Mutsada et al. 2003; Zhang et al. 2006). This cessation of rhythmicity is dependent on the presence of the PsR domain in the overexpressed protein, suggesting that this domain titrates out components that are essential for the circadian cycle (Zhang et al. 2006). Most recently, the PsR domain was shown to bind a quinone cofactor, implicating CikA as a direct redox sensor (Ivleva et al. 2006).

Despite several forward genetic screens aimed at identification of clock-related photoreceptors and the mutation of candidate genes of this class, there are no data to suggest that light information is transmitted directly to the clock in *S. elongatus*. The known input components implicate a cellular redox state, which varies as a function of photosynthetic electron transport, as a proxy for light in setting the clock (Ivleva et al. 2005, 2006). The extensive photosynthetic apparatus, so central to this obligate photosynthetic organism, is known to act as a light sensor in *S. elongatus* (Smith and Williams 2006). Such a comprehensive change in genome topology could explain the universal rhythmicity of promoter activity in this organism and the residual rhythmicity observed in rpaA mutants. The chromosome compaction cycle is dependent on the *kai* genes but does not require SasA (Smith and Williams 2006). Thus, the clock appears to include output pathways from the oscillator to gene expression using both direct transcription modulation, via SasA and RpaA, and indirectly through wholesale remodeling of the chromosome. The targets of the former pathway, and the mediators of the latter, have yet to be determined.

**DISCOVERY OF NEW CONNECTIONS BETWEEN THE CLOCK AND CELLULAR ACTIVITIES**

Our understanding of the circadian oscillator and the greater clock in *S. elongatus* has come a long way in a relatively short period of time, but we still do not know what the oscillator really does, other than perform rhythmic phosphorylation of KaiC. Although many large-scale mutant hunts have been performed in *S. elongatus* and many genes related to the clock have been identified, more participants are predicted to exist in forging connection of the circadian oscillator to the cell it serves. The diminutive size of the *S. elongatus* genome renders feasible a comprehensive examination of each locus for its potential effect on circadian timing. A functional genomics project is under way in which we have mutagenized segments of the genome with transposons to achieve saturation of mutant alleles (Holtman et al. 2005). Individual genes that carry insertion mutations are introduced into bioluminescent reporter strains where they recombine with native chromosomal alleles; each mutant is then screened for alterations in circadian gene expression. This far, 95% of loci have been mutagenized, and mutants detected for 700 different loci, approximately one quarter of the genome, have been screened for circadian defects (Holtman et al., unpubl.). From the screened pool, 71 genes not previously associated with the clock have been identified as affecting circadian gene expres-
sion; most of these cause long or short circadian periods when mutated or change the phase of peak reporter gene expression. None that cause complete arrhythmia have been identified, other than the known kaiA, kaiB, and kaiC genes. The newly identified genes fall into various categories, including many loci of unknown function, some of which are conserved among diverse cyanobacteria and some that are apparently unique to S. elongatus. Some loci of particular interest are predicted to encode redox-active proteins, supporting an emerging picture of redox, rather than light itself, as the chief environment input currency in the cell.

Many of the mutants that show circadian phenotypes carry insertion alleles that cannot be segregated entirely from their wild-type counterparts, indicating that the mutated locus is essential for viability (Holtman et al. 2005). In these situations, the phenotype is observed in merodiploid strains that carry both alleles and presumably have decreased expression of the target gene. Because S. elongatus carries multiple copies of its chromosome, a strain with a mixed population of mutant and wild-type chromosomes may carry a range of ratios of alleles, which is influenced by selective pressure for an antibiotic resistance gene on the transposon.

The first clock-affecting gene found in the genomics screen reinforces a common theme found in eukaryotic circadian systems: Proteolysis is an important factor for maintaining normal circadian rhythms in vivo. The clpP2 and clpX genes form an operon, in which the upstream gene encodes the ClpP2 protease and the downstream gene encodes the ClpX chaperone that delivers substrates to the enzyme. Neither can be inactivated completely in S. elongatus, but merodiploids affected in either locus have long-period phenotypes (Holtman et al. 2005). Conditional expression of an antisense RNA that is complementary to and spans the intergenic region of the dicistronic RNA phenocopies the (merodiploid) mutant phenotype. Because bacteria do not possess the dicer pathway that is responsible for gene silencing, the gene suppression is temporary and presumably results from direct interference with transcription or translation, or stimulates a pathway that nonspecifically recognizes double-stranded RNA. The ability to conditionally suppress genes that are essential for viability provides a valuable tool for assessing the contributions to circadian timing of genes that tie the clock to critical cellular processes.

Although we do not yet know how the circadian clock orchestrates the life of the cell, we do know that its activity is important for the organism. The wealth of circadian period mutants generated in the early 1990s provided the means to test the extent to which a circadian clock confers an adaptive advantage. Mutant and wild-type strains that have different free-running periods grow equally well when grown in different T cycles (11 hr light:11 hr dark; 15 hr light:15 hr dark). However, big differences in survival emerge when these strains are mixed in equal numbers and challenged to compete in days of different lengths (Ouyang et al. 1998). Under the pressure of competition, the strain whose free-running period is closest to that of the T cycle always emerges as the predominant strain. Together, these findings suggest that cyanobacteria actively influence the growth of others in the population and that resonance of circadian period with the prevailing daily cycle confers a fitness advantage.

**HOW REPRESENTATIVE IS S. ELONGATUS?**

S. elongatus is one representative among a handful of cyanobacterial strains that are amenable to genetic manipulation (Koksharova and Wolk 2002). Of these, it has the smallest genome, the most limited metabolic range, and no developmental programs. It is a freshwater mesophile that has been isolated from environments in Texas and California (PCC 6301 and PCC 7942, Pasteur Culture Collection, http://www.pasteur.fr/recherche/banques/PCC/index.html). The cyanobacterial clade encompasses very diverse species, including multicellular organisms that differentiate specialized cell types, species that help to form desert crusts, denizens of mats in alkaline hot springs, abundant phytoplankton in the open ocean, and strains that thrive in Antarctica.

The age of genomics has particularly favored the cyanobacterial clade, with more than two dozen genomes from diverse species available. Sequenced genomes vary in size from 1.7 to 12 Mbp (http://www.genomesonline.org/gold.cgi), and genome size correlates roughly with the range of metabolic and developmental capabilities of a particular species (Rippka et al. 1979; Herdman et al. 2001). The diversity of lifestyles and genetic makeup suggests that cyanobacteria will show variations in circadian machinery. For example, the presence of multiple copies of kaiB and kaiC in some species, which does not correlate with genome size, suggests the action of multiple oscillators or, at minimum, a more complicated oscillatory cycle than has been proposed in S. elongatus. Some input pathway features are certain to vary among species. KaiA in *Nostoc punctiforme* and *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7120 lacks the amino-terminal domain of the S. elongatus protein (Williams et al. 2002), and the oceanic *Prochlorococcus* species do not encode a KaiA protein at all (Dvornyk et al. 2003). The CikA kinase, which is essential for circadian resetting in *S. elongatus*, has no clear homologs in other cyanobacteria. Variations among species in the mechanism for the transfer of environmental light information to the clock are not surprising, because their light-harvesting antenna structures and pigments for photosynthesis are also diverse (Glazer 1989; Ting et al. 2002). Thus, although the findings in *S. elongatus* have been enlightening and instructive, it should not be assumed that all cyanobacterial circadian clocks will operate like the *S. elongatus* clock. Variations in circadian mechanism at least as notable as those that distinguish the clocks of fruit flies and mice should be expected.

Potential homologs of the KaiB and KaiC proteins of the *S. elongatus* circadian oscillator are encoded in the genomes of some other bacteria and some Archaea (Dvornyk et al. 2003). A question still unanswered is whether these proteins serve circadian functions in their hosts or perhaps perform ancestral, nonclock activities. Moreover, many clades in the bacterial domain do not have kai homologs, but they might have undiscovered, nonhomologous clocks, just as plants and fungi have cir-
cyanobacterial circadian oscillators all their own. Only concerted research efforts in other prokaryotic models will reveal the extent of circadian biological timing in the Tree of Life.

CONCLUSIONS

The choice of *S. elongatus* as the cyanobacterial circadian model was, in retrospect, quite fortuitous. Robust and high-amplitude rhythms of bioluminescence were observed from the first luciferase reporter gene that was tested (psbA1 promoter), and these clear rhythms facilitated circadian screening. Another popular genetically tractable cyanobacterium, *Synechocystis* sp. strain PCC 6803, was found to exhibit lower amplitude rhythms in similar assays (Aoki et al. 1995, 2002). Moreover, now that the oscillator genes have been identified, the presence of additional copies of *kaiB* and *kaiC* in the *Synechocystis* genome (Dvornyk et al. 2003; Chen 2007) suggests that redundancy might have complicated forward genetics had that organism been chosen initially.

The efforts of many talented students and senior scientists have contributed to the richly developed clock model system that we enjoy today with *S. elongatus*. Among these, the creative technological innovations of T. Kondo, which drove the development of phenotypic screening (Kondo et al. 1994) and the in vitro oscillator system, merit special notice (Nakajima et al. 2005). Clock analysis in this organism is now at a very sophisticated stage, with structural detail (Garces et al. 2004; Pattanyek et al. 2004; Ye et al. 2004; Hitomi et al. 2005), mathematical models (Mori et al. 2007), global genomics tools (Holtman et al. 2005), and cellular imaging probes (Zhang et al. 2006). Fifteen years of progress in describing the parts that comprise the oscillator have led us to the threshold of understanding how the cell harnesses this tick ing mechanism to build a clock that tunes the life of a cyanobacterium to thrive in the world it inhabits.
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