The past several years have been highlighted by the landmark descriptions of the genomes of several model organisms. The significance of these findings becomes even greater following the nearly full sequence assembly of the human genome. Together, these “genome projects” have shown that more complex eukaryotic model organisms have a much bigger genome than unicellular eukaryotes, although the increased “biocomplexity” is not reflected by an equivalent expansion in the number of protein-coding genes (e.g., ~40,000 in humans vs. ~6,000 in Saccharomyces cerevisiae). These results strongly suggest that biocomplexity is only in part regulated by overall gene number, but largely depends on combinatorial control triggering a vast number of gene expression patterns. In addition, mechanisms other than DNA sequence information have been used during evolution to better index and regulate the complex developmental programs and key regulatory processes, such as chromosome segregation and cell division of eukaryotic genomes.

In the nuclei of almost all eukaryotic cells, genomic DNA is highly folded and compacted with histone and nonhistone proteins in a dynamic polymer called chromatin. The discoveries that DNA methylation, nucleosome remodeling, histone modification, and noncoding RNAs can organize chromatin into accessible (“euchromatic”) and inaccessible (“heterochromatic”) subdomains reveal epigenetic mechanisms that considerably extend the information potential of the genetic code. Thus, one genome can generate many “epigenomes” (Fig. 1), as the fertilized egg progresses through development and translates its information into a multitude of cell fates. These epigenetic mechanisms are crucial for the function of most, if not all, chromatin-templated processes and link alterations in chromatin structure to allele-specific expression differences, developmental programming of cell lineages, chromosome segregation, DNA repair, and genome stability. The implications of epigenetic research for human biology and disease, including cancer and aging, are far reaching.

The basic repeating unit of chromatin is the nucleosome, consisting of 147 bp of DNA wrapped around an octamer of the core histones H2A, H2B, H3, and H4 (Luger et al. 1997). Posttranslational modifications of the protruding histone amino-termini (histone “tails”) were proposed 40 years ago to affect gene expression (Allfrey et al. 1964) and have since been demonstrated as important modulators of chromatin structure, culminating in the “histone code” hypothesis (Strahl and Allis 2000; Turner 2000; Jenuwein and Allis 2001). Histone modifications include acetylation, phosphorylation, methylation (arginine and lysine), ubiquitination, and ADP ribosylation (van Holde 1988). In the last 4 years, histone lysine methylation has emerged as a central epigenetic modification (Jenuwein 2001; Zhang and...
Including gene silencing and chromatin condensation. Based on its broad chromosomal presence, it may serve several functions, in particular methyl-lysine marks. According to its broad chromosomal presence and abundance of all possible methylation states for H3-K9, H3-K27, and H4-K20 in mammalian chromatin and in several eukaryotic model organisms. We show that selective combinations between distinct methylation states can discriminate constitutive from facultative heterochromatin. Yet other combinations of repressive methyl-lysine marks appear to be involved in the organization of repressive chromatin structures. Each of these individual lysine positions can exist in three distinct methylation states: mono-, di-, and trimethylation (the “trilogies”).

Here, we describe a comprehensive analysis of the presence and abundance of all possible methylation states for H3-K9, H3-K27, and H4-K20 in mammalian chromatin. H4-K20 methylation is a hallmark of constitutive heterochromatin. Yet other combinations of repressive methyl-lysine marks appear to be involved in Polycromat-mediated gene silencing. We also discuss implications of why there are differences in mono-, di-, and trimethylation and how distinct histone lysine methylation systems are functionally connected to impart a combinatorial histone lysine methylation pattern.

In mammalian chromatin, monomethylation and, to a larger extent, trimethylation are focally enriched at chromosomal subdomains, whereas dimethylation is not very informative for regional chromatin organization. H3-K9 methylation is a hallmark of constitutive heterochromatin and is evolutionarily conserved, although there are significant differences between its abundance in Schizosaccharomyces pombe and mammalian chromatin. H3-K27 methylation appears to indicate the emergence of multicellularity and of the Polycromat system, it is not detectable in S. pombe chromatin. H4-K20 methylation is highly abundant and the most conserved of the three repressive methyl-lysine marks. Based on its broad chromosomal presence, it may serve several functions, including gene silencing and chromatin condensation. Together, these data allow the assignment of distinct roles for repressive histone lysine methylation states and underscore the indexing potential of histone lysine methylation as epigenetic landmarks in eukaryotic chromatin.

**EPIGENETIC CONTROL OF EUKARYOTIC GENOMES**

Identical twins are used as the cover illustration for this 69th Cold Spring Harbor Symposium—Epigenetics—and as such underscore the power of genetics over this subtle differences that are imparted by epigenetic control. However, “You can inherit something beyond the DNA sequence. That’s where the real excitement in genetics is now” (Watson 2003). Indeed, alterations in DNA methyl-ation patterns (Bird 2002), misregulated chromatin re-modeling (Klochendler-Yeivin et al. 2002; Naikkar et al. 2002), and changes in histone modifications (Schneider et al. 2002) have been linked with perturbed development and tumorigenesis (Jones and Baylin 2002) and with inefficient reprogramming of cloned mammalian embryos after nuclear transfer (Jaenisch and Bird 2003).

Genome size, and how the genetic information is partitioned in more complex organisms, is another aspect to be considered when gauging the importance of epigenetic control. A comparison between the genomes of *S. cerevisiae* (Yeast Genome Directory 1997), *S. pombe* (Wood et al. 2002), *Drosophila melanogaster* (Adams et al. 2000), and *Mus musculus* (Waterston et al. 2002) indicates that genome size significantly expands with the complexity of the respective organism (≥1000-fold between *S. cerevisiae* and mouse), despite an only modest increase in overall gene number (≈6–7-fold between *S. cerevisiae* and mouse) (see Fig. 2). In contrast to the largely “open” genomes of the unicellular fungi, multicellular organisms have accumulated repetitive elements and noncoding regions, which, for example, in the mouse account for the majority of its DNA sequence (52% non-coding and 44% repetitive DNA). Only ~4% of the mouse genome encodes for protein function. This massive expansion of repetitive and noncoding sequences in multicellular organisms is most likely due to the incorpor-
Intriguingly, during evolution from such as the RNAi machinery, repressive histone lysine methylations (e.g., H3-K9, H3-K27) in S. cerevisiae, there is a similar increase from 4 in S. cerevisiae to 51 in mouse (see Fig. 2). For chromodomains (i.e., a module that has been shown to confer methyl-binding activity) proteins, there is a similar increase from 4 in S. cerevisiae to ~28 in mice.

Histone lysine methylation systems generally consist of a SET-domain enzyme and a chromodomain adaptor. Since there are only five prominent methyl-lysine residues in the histone H3 and H4 tails, each methylatable lysine can potentially be targeted by multiple HMTases. For example, the H3-K9 position is a substrate for the activity of at least three distinct HMTase systems in mammalian cells. Histone lysine methylation systems generally consist of a SET-domain enzyme and a chromodomain adaptor. Since there are only five prominent methyl-lysine residues in the histone H3 and H4 tails, each methylatable lysine can potentially be targeted by multiple HMTases. For example, the H3-K9 position is a substrate for the activity of at least three distinct HMTase systems in mammalian cells. Histone lysine methylation systems generally consist of a SET-domain enzyme and a chromodomain adaptor. Since there are only five prominent methyl-lysine residues in the histone H3 and H4 tails, each methylatable lysine can potentially be targeted by multiple HMTases. For example, the H3-K9 position is a substrate for the activity of at least three distinct HMTase systems in mammalian cells. Histone lysine methylation systems generally consist of a SET-domain enzyme and a chromodomain adaptor. Since there are only five prominent methyl-lysine residues in the histone H3 and H4 tails, each methylatable lysine can potentially be targeted by multiple HMTases. For example, the H3-K9 position is a substrate for the activity of at least three distinct HMTase systems in mammalian cells. Histone lysine methylation systems generally consist of a SET-domain enzyme and a chromodomain adaptor. Since there are only five prominent methyl-lysine residues in the histone H3 and H4 tails, each methylatable lysine can potentially be targeted by multiple HMTases. For example, the H3-K9 position is a substrate for the activity of at least three distinct HMTase systems in mammalian cells. Histone lysine methylation systems generally consist of a SET-domain enzyme and a chromodomain adaptor. Since there are only five prominent methyl-lysine residues in the histone H3 and H4 tails, each methylatable lysine can potentially be targeted by multiple HMTases. For example, the H3-K9 position is a substrate for the activity of at least three distinct HMTase systems in mammalian cells. Histone lysine methylation systems generally consist of a SET-domain enzyme and a chromodomain adaptor. Since there are only five prominent methyl-lysine residues in the histone H3 and H4 tails, each methylatable lysine can potentially be targeted by multiple HMTases. For example, the H3-K9 position is a substrate for the activity of at least three distinct HMTase systems in mammalian cells. Histone lysine methylation systems generally consist of a SET-domain enzyme and a chromodomain adaptor. Since there are only five prominent methyl-lysine residues in the histone H3 and H4 tails, each methylatable lysine can potentially be targeted by multiple HMTases. For example, the H3-K9 position is a substrate for the activity of at least three distinct HMTase systems in mammalian ce
more efficient in preventing antagonistic marks such as acetylation or phosphorylation within the same modification cassette on a given histone segment (Fig. 3). A methyl/phospho switch for HP1 binding has been proposed, predicting impaired HP1 association if the H3-K9 mono- or trimethylated histone tail is additionally phosphorylated at H3-S10 (Fischle et al. 2003b). It is conceivable that H3-K9 trimethylation could inhibit substrate recognition of histone H3-K9 monomethylase (Mateescu et al. 2003) or display various potentials to interfere with antagonistic modifications (yellow mod). Selective lysine positions in histone tails can be as compared to monomethylation or dimethylation.

**Figure 3.** Potential roles of histone lysine mono-, di-, and trimethylation. Selective lysine positions in histone tails may impair antagonistic modifications at a higher efficiency as compared to monomethylation or dimethylation.

HISTONE LYSINE METHYLATION PATTERNS ARE EPIGENETIC LANDMARKS OF EUKARYOTIC CHROMATIN

To examine the in vivo distribution of distinct histone lysine methylation states in native chromatin, we developed a series of position-specific methyl-lysine antibodies against H3-K9, H3-K27, and H4-K20 that additionally discriminate between mono-, di-, or trimethylated, as illustrated by increasing color contrast of methyl-lysine marks (red hexagons). The distinct methylation states could modulate the affinity toward methyl-lysine binding proteins, differ in their turnover rates and stability, or display various potentials to interfere with antagonistic modifications. Selective lysine positions in histone tails can be.

**Figure 4.** Repressive histone lysine methylation states are epigenetic landmarks in mouse interphase chromatin. Female wild-type and Suv39h dn iMEFs were stained with methyl-lysine histone antibodies that discriminate mono-, di-, and trimethylation of H3-K9 (Peters et al. 2003; Perez-Burgos et al. 2004). H3-K27 (Peters et al. 2003; Perez-Burgos et al. 2004), and H4-K20 (Schotta et al. 2004). Focus of pericentric heterochromatin that were visualized by staining with DAPI (not shown) are decorated by H3-K9 tri-, H3-K27 mono-, and H4-K20 trimethylation in wild-type nuclei. In addition, the inactive X chromosome (Xi) is enriched for H3-K27 trimethylation and H4-K20 monomethylation. The occurrence of H3-K9 monomethylation at pericentric heterochromatin in Suv39h dn mice is indicated by multiple arrows.
prints of the Xi (Plath et al. 2003; Silva et al. 2003; Kohlmaier et al. 2004; Okamoto et al. 2004; see below).

Thus, our comprehensive analysis on all nine representative histone lysine methylation states (the three “trilogies”) has revealed selective patterns to index distinct chromosomal subdomains. Constitutive heterochromatin at pericentric regions is characterized by a combination of H3-K9 tri-, H3-K27 mono-, and H4-K20 trimethylation, whereas the signature for facultative heterochromatin at the Xi consists of the two prominent marks H3-K27 trimethylation and H4-K20 monomethylation.

**HMTase NETWORKS INDEX**

**HETEROCHROMATIN, THE Xi**

**AND Polycomb SILENCING**

Based on the above data, induction of the observed histone lysine methylation pattern at constitutive heterochromatin would involve interplay of (at least) four different HMTases. Whereas the function of the predicted H3-K27 monomethylase is unresolved, another monomethylase appears required to prepare the histone H3 tail via H3-K9 monomethylation for subsequent substrate recognition by the trimethylating Suv39h enzymes (Peters et al. 2003). Suv39h-dependent H3-K9 trimethylation then provides a binding platform for the HP1 proteins and recruitment of the nucleosome-specific, trimethylating Suv4-20h HMTases (Schotta et al. 2004). None of the proposed H3-K27 and H3-K9 monomethylating enzymes are currently identified, nor do we know the nature of any of the putative H3-K27 monomethyl and H4-K20 trimethyl-lysine binders (Fig. 5).

At the Xi, the presence of H4-K20 monomethylation requires the activity of an unidentified H4-K20 monomethylase (Kohlmaier et al. 2004). In addition, H3-K9 dimethylation may accumulate at the Xi during the cell cycle (K. Plath and B. Panning, pers. comm.), where it could provide a transient signal for HP1 (Chadwick and Willard 2003). The most robust modification at facultative heterochromatin, however, is H3-K27 trimethylation, which is mediated by the Polycomb group and SET-domain enzyme Ezh (Plath et al. 2003; Silva et al. 2003; Okamoto et al. 2004). There is currently no reported association of other Polycomb group proteins with the Xi, although not all components of the Polycomb system have been examined. It is also unclear how the predicted H4-K20 monomethylase would interact with an Xi-specific Ezh2 complex to induce a combinatorial histone lysine methylation pattern.

For Polycomb-mediated gene silencing, the initial data suggested Ezh2-dependent H3-K9 and H3-K27 methylation as important signals, with a preference for H3-K27 trimethylation (Cao et al. 2002; Czermin et al. 2002; Kuzmichev et al. 2002; Müller et al. 2002). Ezh2 is an HMTase that displays activity only upon complex formation with the other Polycomb-group proteins Su(z)12 and Eed. Biochemical fractionation has recently identified several distinct Ezh2 complexes that contain different amino-terminal isoforms of Eed: PRC2 (Eed2) and PRC3 (Eed 3/4) (Kuzmichev et al. 2004). Strikingly, these complexes target mutually exclusive histone substrates when tested in a nucleosomal context containing linker histones. In this setting, PRC2 is inactive toward histone H3, and instead trimethylates the linker histone H1b at the K26 position. In contrast, PRC3 has a preference for H3-K27 trimethylation, but only if the nucleosomal templates lack the linker histones. From these data, Ezh2 appears as a very promiscuous HMTase whose substrate specificity would be context dependent. It would thus not be inconceivable that distinct Ezh complexes at different developmental options could target H3-K9, H3-K27, and/or H1b-K26 positions, which together may generate a robust combinatorial signal to recruit Polycomb complexes for stable gene silencing.

**Figure 5.** HMTase networks for heterochromatin, the Xi, and Polycomb. This figure summarizes combinations of mammalian histone lysine methylation marks that are enriched at distinct chromatin domains, such as pericentric heterochromatin or the inactive X chromosome (see Fig. 4), or that could provide a combinatorial signal to stabilize Polycomb complexes at their target regions. Confirmed histone lysine-methyl marks are shown in bold. Also indicated are known methyl-lysine binding partners and the relevant HMTases (with their *S. pombe* homologs, where appropriate). The Polycomb group HMTase Ezh only works in a complex and appears as a promiscuous enzyme whose substrate specificity is directed via interaction with different eed isoforms (Kuzmichev et al. 2004). Whether additional eed isoforms, eed modifications, or other Ezh complex components may alter substrate specificity toward H3-K9 or facilitate recruitment at the Xi is currently unresolved (Ezh-?). n.a.: not applicable.
HISTONE LYSINE METHYLATION STATES IN MOUSE VERSUS S. POMBE

At mouse and Drosophila (Schotta et al. 2004) heterochromatin, we have uncovered a sequential silencing pathway for H3-K9 and H4-K20 trimethylation. To address whether this link may also be operational in unicellular eukaryotes, we analyzed histone lysine methylation states in S. pombe. In this organism, the Suv39h/HP1 methylation system is represented through the Clr4/Swi6 homologs, and the Suv4-20h HMTrs are conserved via the SET9 enzyme (see Fig. 5, and above). Further, the enriched presence of Clr4-mediated H3-K9 methylation at the outer centromeric repeats and at the mating type loci has been demonstrated by ChIP data (Nakayama et al. 2001; Noma et al. 2001; Volpe et al. 2003).

To examine the relative abundance of repressive histone lysine methylation marks, we probed bulk histone preparations from wild-type and clr4A S. pombe strains with our panel of position- and state-specific methyl-lysine antibodies (Fig. 6). Nuclear extracts from wild-type and Suv39h−/−MEFs were used as a reference. To demonstrate the quality of the histone preparations from S. pombe, we first hybridized the protein blots with H3-K4-specific antibodies. Similar and abundant levels for H3-K4 mono-, di-, and trimethylation could be visualized, consistent with this active mark being a prominent modification in fission yeast (Noma and Grewal 2002). Surprisingly, there were no detectable signals with any of the H3-K9 state-specific antibodies, despite the documented presence of H3-K9 methylation by ChIP analyses (Nakayama et al. 2001; Noma et al. 2001; Volpe et al. 2003). These data indicate a very low abundance for H3-K4 trimethylation (Santos-Rosa et al. 2002), H3-K9 methylation and suggest that this mark may be locally enriched only in S. pombe chromatin. For the three H3-K79 methylation states, we also failed to detect any signals. This would be consistent with the absence of the Polycomb system in unicellular eukaryotes, and there is no fission yeast SET-domain gene with apparent sequence homology to the Ezh HMTases.

In striking contrast, however, H4-K20 mono-, di-, and trimethylation states are very prominent in the S. pombe histone preparations. Interestingly, there is a moderate reduction in H4-K20 mono- or dimethylation levels, in clr4A mutants, similar to that observed in wild-type versus Suv39h−/−nuclear extracts (Fig. 6, right panel). These data suggest that a fraction of H4-K20 trimethylation could be dependent on Clr4 function, indicating a possible evolutionary conservation between H3-K9 and H4-K20 methylation systems from S. pombe to mammals.

EVOLUTIONARY CONSERVATION OF HISTONE LYSINE METHYLATION STATES

The surprising differences in the selective abundance of repressive histone lysine methylation states in S. pombe versus mouse prompted us to compile a summary of histone lysine methylation “trilogies” in several other model organisms, including S. cerevisiae, Arabidopsis thaliana, and Drosophila (Fig. 7). For simplification, we focused only on prominent methyl-lysine positions in the histone tails and divided this summary into “ON” marks (H3-K4 and H3-K36) and “OFF” marks (H3-K9, H3-K27, and H4-K20).

S. cerevisiae chromatin does not carry any of the currently described “OFF” marks. This is consistent with the lack of the other components that have been implicated in the epigenetic hierarchy of gene silencing, such as the RNAi machinery and DNA methylation. For its few repressed chromatin domains (telomeres and the mating-type loci), S. cerevisiae has developed a similar silencing system that is mainly based on the SIR proteins (Grunstein 1997; Gasser and Cockell 2001). Consistent with the “activated” genome of S. cerevisiae, the “ON” marks are present (Briggs et al. 2001), including H3-K4 trimethylation (Santos-Rosa et al. 2002), H3-K36 methylation (Strahl et al. 2002; T. Xiao et al. 2003), and the antisilencing function imparted by H3-K79 methylation (Feng et al. 2002; van Leeuwen et al. 2002).

Although the S. pombe epigenome also has only a limited amount of repressed chromosomal regions (centromeres, telomeres, and mating-type loci), it contains a...
subset of the “OFF” marks. In addition to a local enrichment of H3-K9 dimethylation at these silenced domains (Nakayama et al. 2001; Noma et al. 2001), some signals for H3-K9 trimethylation may also be present at the outer centromeric regions (S. Grewal, pers. comm.). In contrast, there is no proven evidence for H3-K9 monomethylation (M. Portoso and R. Allshire, pers. comm.). Also, none of the three H3-K27 methylation states can be detected, consistent with the absence of Polycomb function in fission yeast. In *Neurospora crassa*, H3-K9 trimethylation has been shown to be important to direct DNA methylation (Tamura et al. 2003). Intriguingly, H4-K20 methylation represents the most prominent “OFF” mark in *S. pombe*, including all three methylation states at similar abundance. However, H4-K20 methylation may not be restricted to a role in gene repression, since loss of H4-K20 methylation in setDΔ mutants does not appear to cause silencing defects, but rather results in increased sensitivity toward DNA damage (S. Saunders, R. Allshire, and T. Kouzarides, pers. comm.). Also, none of the three H3-K27 methylation states is present at the chromosomal arms (A. Fischer and G. Reuter, pers. comm.), particularly if synergisms between some of the corresponding HMTase systems may be impaired.

**Figure 7.** Evolutionary conservation of histone lysine methylation triologies. Summary of available data on the presence and abundance of mono-, di-, and trimethylation (the “trilogies”) at the H3-K4 and H3-K27 (“ON” marks) or at the H3-K9, H3-K27, and H4-K20 (“OFF” marks) positions in *S. cerevisiae*, *S. pombe*, *A. thaliana*, *D. melanogaster*, and *M. musculus* chromatin. +: abundant presence; –: undetectable levels; (+): this given methyl-lysine mark has been detected only by ChIP analyses or is underrepresented with respect to the other methylation states at this specific lysine position. ?: the data on this methyl-lysine mark are not confirmed. Information on some distinct methylation states was not available; nd: not done. This summary integrates data from immunofluorescence, protein blot, ChIP, and mass spectrometry analyses, based on the following references: *S. cerevisiae*: H3-K4 (Briggs et al. 2001; Santos-Rosa et al. 2002); H3-K36 (Stahl et al. 2002; B. Stahl, pers. comm.); S. pombe: H3-K4 (see Fig. 6); H3-K36 (R. Sengupta and T. Jenuwein, unpubl.); H3-K9 (Nakayama et al. 2001; Noma et al. 2001; Volpe et al. 2001; M. Portoso and R. Allshire, pers. comm.; S. Grewal, pers. comm.); H3-K27 and H4-K20 (see Fig. 6); *A. thaliana*: H3-K4, and H3-K36 (A. Fischer and G. Reuter, pers. comm.); H3-K9 (Jasencakova et al. 2001; Jackson et al. 2004); H3-K27 (Bastow et al. 2004; Sung and Amasino 2004; A. Fischer and G. Reuter, pers. comm.); H4-K20 (A. Fischer and G. Reuter, pers. comm.); *D. melanogaster*: H3-K4 (G. Reuter, pers. comm.); H3-K36 (S. Kubicek and T. Jenuwein, unpubl.); H3-K9 and H3-K27 (A. Ebert et al., in prep.); H4-K20 (Schotta et al. 2004); *M. musculus*: H3-K4 and H3-K9 (see Fig. 6); H3-K36 (S. Kubicek and T. Jenuwein, unpubl.); H3-K9 and H3-K27 (Peters et al. 2003); and H4-K20 (Schotta et al. 2004). Additional data used to compile this summary are available from R. Sengupta and T. Jenuwein (unpubl.).

**TRILOGIES OF HISTONE LYSINE METHYLATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th><em>S. cerevisiae</em></th>
<th><em>S. pombe</em></th>
<th>Arabidopsis</th>
<th>Drosophila</th>
<th>mouse</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ON</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3-K4 (mono)</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3-K4 (di)</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3-K4 (trim)</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3-K9 (mono)</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3-K9 (di)</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3-K9 (trim)</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3-K27 (mono)</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3-K27 (di)</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3-K27 (trim)</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H4-K20 (mono)</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H4-K20 (di)</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H4-K20 (trim)</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This does not exclude local accumulation of H3-K9 and/or H3-K27 trimethylation at distinct targets or selected chromatin regions (A. Fischer and G. Reuter, pers. comm.), particularly if synergisms between some of the corresponding HMTase systems may be impaired. *Drosophila* chromatin displays the full spectrum of all repressive histone lysine methylation states. Although H3-K4 dimethylation is most prominent at pericentric heterochromatin and dominates the trimethylated state, H3-K9 trimethylation is locally enriched at the core of the chromocenter (A. Ebert et al., in prep.). All three H3-K27 methylation states are present at the chromosomal arms and their accumulation is collectively governed by the Polycomb group HMTase Elx1/H4-K20 trimethylation is broadly dispersed over many chromosomal regions but selectively enriched at the chromocenter, where it is required for modulation of position effect variegation (PEV) (Schotta et al. 2004).

Finally, mammalian chromatin exhibits abundant mono-, di-, and trimethylation of all examined repressive histone lysine positions (see Fig. 4). This probably reflects the need to strengthen functional interactions between the major silencing systems of the RNAi machinery, histone lysine methylation, and DNA methylation in the efficient epigenetic control of large parts of their "closed" genomes (see Fig. 2). Mass-spectrometry analyses have indicated that the sum of mono-, di-, and trimethylation accounts for >50% of bulk histones in mouse embryonic stem cell extracts to be methylated at the H3-K9 or H3-K27 positions (Peters et al. 2003).
dantly, conserved from for the distinct histone lysine methylation marks. It is possible to assign the following major roles for a functional interplay of the associated HMTase systems. Together with the data on the evolutionary conservation, it is possible to assign the following major roles for the distinct histone lysine methylation marks.

H3-K9 methylation is largely, although not abundantly, conserved from S. pombe to mammals and serves as a hallmark for gene silencing and the formation of heterochromatin. H3-K9 methylation can induce a repressed chromatin domain primarily at repetitive sequence elements, where its HMTase systems are likely to be recruited via short heterochromatic RNAs (shRNAs, see Fig. 5). At pericentric heterochromatin, the interplay of shRNAs and H3-K9 methylation (and of DNA methylation in the appropriate organisms) is important to safeguard centromere function and chromosome segregation.

H3-K27 methylation could indicate the emergence of multicellularity and cell-type differentiation, as it is absent in both budding and fission yeast. The presence of H3-K27 methylation signals appears tightly coupled to the existence of the Polycomb system, which is involved in lineage commitment and in coregulating the stability of gene expression programs. H3-K27 trimethylation is also a prominent epigenetic imprint for facultative heterochromatin at the Xi, where it is targeted via the noncoding Xist RNA. Based on the recurrent parallels of RNA-dependent recruitment of HMTase systems, it is conceivable that Polycomb-mediated “transcriptional memory” could also be triggered by an RNA moiety that may comprise “aberrant” or stalled transcripts (see Fig. 5) from developmentally regulated promoters.

H4-K20 methylation is highly conserved and most abundant across epigenetic model organisms ranging from S. pombe to mammals. Although H4-K20 trimethylation is enriched at pericentric heterochromatin, where it appears to be dependent on preexisting H3-K9 trimethylation, it is also present at many other chromosomal locations. Based on its high conservation and broad distribution, H4-K20 methylation is probably involved in several important functions, ranging from mitotic chromatin condensation (Fang et al. 2002; Nishikoa et al. 2002; Rice et al. 2002) to transcriptional regulation and to sensing chromatin damage and probably also DNA repair. A crucial function for H4-K20 methylation in the structural organization of chromatin is further suggested by the positioning of H4-K20 at the outer boundary of the nucleosome (Lager et al. 1997; Lugner 2003), where targeted modifications or recruitment of chromatin-associated factors and linker histones could trigger transitions from the 11-nm to a 30-nm chromatin fiber.

Although the above examples highlight significant advances in our understanding of the functional implications of histone lysine methylation, many questions remain. These include demonstrations on whether distinct histone marks can in general be assigned with specific biological roles, what their modification-dependent binding partners are, and how combinations of these marks may affect downstream events—all of these notions represent predictions from the “histone code” hypothesis. To examine combinatorial signals of histone lysine methylation and of other histone modifications, high-resolution mass spectrometry analyses on purified nucleosomes or on enriched chromosomal subdomains will be required, but will also pose a major challenge. Further, there are crucial questions in defining the mechanisms that confer inheritance and propagation of epigenetic information, important lines of research that may possibly lead to a molecular explanation for the distinction between germ cells versus somatic cells and to a better understanding of the nature of pluripotency. Will there be an enzyme(s) that actively removes histone lysine methyl marks, or what are the alternative mechanisms to erase or stabilize chromatin imprints during continuous rounds of cell division? The functional connections between the RNAi machinery, histone lysine methylation, and DNA methylation will continue to provide exciting insights into normal and perturbed development. It is also conceivable that differences in the relative abundance between distinct histone lysine methylation states, such as the apparent underrepresentation of H3-K9 and H3-K27 trimethylation in S. pombe and A. thaliana (see Fig. 7), may reflect the greater proliferative and regenerative potential in these organisms, as compared to the more restricted developmental programs of metazoan systems.

Thus, the detailed analysis on the three repressive “trilogies” of H3-K9, H3-K27, and H4-K20 methylation has not only broadened our views on the range of chromatin modifications, but also promises to yield future insights into many basic questions of epigenetic control, ranging from cell differentiation, stem cell plasticity, and regeneration to tumorigenesis and even aging.

SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK

We have examined the three repressive “trilogies” for H3-K9, H3-K27, and H4-K20 mono-, di-, and trimethylation. We have shown that all of these histone lysine methylation states are present in mammalian chromatin and that they can index selective chromatin regions in a combinatorial manner. In addition, we provided evidence for a functional interplay of the associated HMTase systems. Together with the data on the evolutionary conservation, it is possible to assign the following major roles for the distinct histone lysine methylation marks.

H3-K9 methylation is largely, although not abundantly, conserved from S. pombe to mammals and serves as a hallmark for gene silencing and the formation of heterochromatin. H3-K9 methylation can induce a repressed chromatin domain primarily at repetitive sequence elements, where its HMTase systems are likely to be recruited via short heterochromatic RNAs (shRNAs, see Fig. 5). At pericentric heterochromatin, the interplay of shRNAs and H3-K9 methylation (and of DNA methylation in the appropriate organisms) is important to safeguard centromere function and chromosome segregation.

H3-K27 methylation could indicate the emergence of multicellularity and cell-type differentiation, as it is absent in both budding and fission yeast. The presence of H3-K27 methylation signals appears tightly coupled to the existence of the Polycomb system, which is involved in lineage commitment and in coregulating the stability of gene expression programs. H3-K27 trimethylation is also a prominent epigenetic imprint for facultative heterochromatin at the Xi, where it is targeted via the noncoding Xist RNA. Based on the recurrent parallels of RNA-dependent recruitment of HMTase systems, it is conceivable that Polycomb-mediated “transcriptional memory” could also be triggered by an RNA moiety that may comprise “aberrant” or stalled transcripts (see Fig. 5) from developmentally regulated promoters.

H4-K20 methylation is highly conserved and most abundant across epigenetic model organisms ranging from S. pombe to mammals. Although H4-K20 trimethylation is enriched at pericentric heterochromatin, where it appears to be dependent on preexisting H3-K9 trimethylation, it is also present at many other chromosomal locations. Based on its high conservation and broad distribution, H4-K20 methylation is probably involved in several important functions, ranging from mitotic chromatin condensation (Fang et al. 2002; Nishikoa et al. 2002; Rice et al. 2002) to transcriptional regulation and to sensing chromatin damage and probably also DNA repair. A crucial function for H4-K20 methylation in the structural organization of chromatin is further suggested by the positioning of H4-K20 at the outer boundary of the nucleosome (Lager et al. 1997; Lugner 2003), where targeted modifications or recruitment of chromatin-associated factors and linker histones could trigger transitions from the 11-nm to a 30-nm chromatin fiber.

Although the above examples highlight significant advances in our understanding of the functional implications of histone lysine methylation, many questions remain. These include demonstrations on whether distinct histone marks can in general be assigned with specific biological roles, what their modification-dependent binding partners are, and how combinations of these marks may affect downstream events—all of these notions represent predictions from the “histone code” hypothesis. To examine combinatorial signals of histone lysine methylation and of other histone modifications, high-resolution mass spectrometry analyses on purified nucleosomes or on enriched chromosomal subdomains will be required, but will also pose a major challenge. Further, there are crucial questions in defining the mechanisms that confer inheritance and propagation of epigenetic information, important lines of research that may possibly lead to a molecular explanation for the distinction between germ cells versus somatic cells and to a better understanding of the nature of pluripotency. Will there be an enzyme(s) that actively removes histone lysine methyl marks, or what are the alternative mechanisms to erase or stabilize chromatin imprints during continuous rounds of cell division? The functional connections between the RNAi machinery, histone lysine methylation, and DNA methylation will continue to provide exciting insights into normal and perturbed development. It is also conceivable that differences in the relative abundance between distinct histone lysine methylation states, such as the apparent underrepresentation of H3-K9 and H3-K27 trimethylation in S. pombe and A. thaliana (see Fig. 7), may reflect the greater proliferative and regenerative potential in these organisms, as compared to the more restricted developmental programs of metazoan systems.

Thus, the detailed analysis on the three repressive “trilogies” of H3-K9, H3-K27, and H4-K20 methylation has not only broadened our views on the range of chromatin modifications, but also promises to yield future insights into many basic questions of epigenetic control, ranging from cell differentiation, stem cell plasticity, and regeneration to tumorigenesis and even aging.
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